REVISED PROTOCOL – INTERJURISDICTIONAL COST ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY RECEIVED FILED FILED W FILED W

UTILITIES COMMISSION

Appendix A – Definition of Terms

Appendix B – Allocation Factor Applied to Each Component of Revenue Requirement

Appendix C - Allocation Factor - Algebraic Definitions

Appendix D – Special Contracts

Appendix E - Annual Embedded Costs

Appendix F – Methodology for Determining Mid-C (MC) Factor

Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Exhibit No. 19 Witness: Andrea L. Kelly

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PACIFICORP

Exhibit Accompanying Supplemental Direct Testimony of Andrea L. Kelly

Protocol and Appendix A - Definition of Terms

July 2004

I. Introduction

1

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

3 extensive discussions that have occurred among representatives of PacifiCorp, 4 Commission staff members and other interested parties from Utah, Oregon, 5 Wyoming, Idaho and Washington regarding issues arising from the Company's status as a multi-jurisdictional utility. These discussions were referred to as the 6 7 Multi-State Process, or MSP. PacifiCorp commits that it will continue to plan and operate its generation 8 9 and transmission system on a six-State integrated basis in a manner that achieves a 10 least cost/least risk Resource portfolio for its customers. 11 The Protocol describes regulatory policies, which, if followed by all States on 12 a long-term basis, should afford PacifiCorp a reasonable opportunity to recover all of 13 its prudently incurred expenses and investments and earn its authorized rate of 14 return. The assignment of a particular expense or investment, or allocation of a share

This PacifiCorp Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol is the result of

abridge any State's right and/or obligation to establish fair, just and reasonable rates based upon the law of that State and the record established in rate proceedings conducted by that State. It is the intent that the terms of the Protocol be enduring.

Parties who have supported the ratification of the Protocol do so in the belief that it

of an expense or investment, to a State pursuant to the Protocol is not intended to,

and should not, prejudge the prudence of those costs. Nothing in the Protocol shall

will achieve a solution to MSP issues that is in the public interest. However, a party's

Key staff in California monitored the proceedings and received relevant documents.

1 support of the Protocol is not intended in any manner to negate the necessary 2 flexibility of the regulatory process to deal with changed or unforeseen 3 circumstances, and a party's support of the Protocol will not bind or be used against 4 that party in the event that unforeseen or changed circumstances cause that party to 5 conclude, in good faith, that the Protocol no longer produces results that are just, 6 reasonable and in the public interest. Support of the Protocol shall not be deemed to 7 constitute an acknowledgement by any party of the validity or invalidity of any 8 particular method, theory or principle of regulation, cost recovery, cost of service or 9 rate design and no party shall be deemed to have agreed that any particular method, 10 theory or principle of regulation, cost recovery, cost of service or rate design 11 employed in the Protocol is appropriate for resolving any other issues. 12 The Protocol describes how the costs and wholesale revenues associated with 13 PacifiCorp's generation, transmission and distribution system will be assigned or 14 allocated among its six State jurisdictions for purposes of establishing its retail rates. 15 Definitions of terms that are capitalized in the Protocol are set forth in 16 Appendix A. 17 A table identifying the allocation factor to be applied to each component of 18 PacifiCorp's revenue requirement calculation is included as Appendix B. 19 The algebraic derivation of each allocation factor is contained in Appendix C. 20 A description and numeric example of how Special Contracts and related 21 discounts will be reflected in rates is set forth in Appendix D. 22 A listing of FERC accounts relied upon in the definition of "Annual

23

Embedded Costs" is set forth in Appendix E.

| 1 | Each State's allocated share of each Mid-Columbia Contract and the method |
|----|--|
| 2 | for calculating the shares is set forth in Appendix F. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | II. Proposed Effective Date |
| 5 | The Protocol will be effective and apply to all PacifiCorp retail general rate |
| 6 | proceedings initiated subsequent to June 1, 2004. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | III. Classification of Resource Costs |
| 9 | All Resource Fixed Costs, Wholesale Contracts and Short-term Purchases |
| 10 | and Sales will be classified as 75 percent Demand-Related and 25 percent Energy- |
| 11 | Related. All costs associated with Non-Firm Purchases and Sales will be classified |
| 12 | as 100 Percent Energy-Related. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | IV. Allocation of Resource Costs and Wholesale Revenues |
| 15 | Resources will be assigned to one of four categories for inter-jurisdictional |
| 16 | cost allocation purposes: |
| 17 | A. Seasonal Resources, |
| 18 | B. Regional Resources, |
| 19 | C. State Resources, or |
| 20 | D. System Resources. |
| 21 | There are three types of Seasonal Resources, one type of Regional Resource |
| 22 | and three types of State Resources. The remainder are System Resources which |
| 23 | constitute the substantial majority of PacifiCorp's Resources. Costs associated with |
| 24 | each category and type of Resource will be allocated on the following basis: |
| 25 | A Seasonal Resources |

| 1 | Costs | associated with the following three types of Seasonal Resources |
|----|---------|---|
| 2 | will be | e allocated as follows: |
| 3 | 1. | Simple-Cycle Combustion Turbines (SCCTs): All Fixed Costs |
| 4 | | associated with SCCTs will be allocated based upon the |
| 5 | | SSGCT (Seasonal System Generation Combustion Turbine) |
| 6 | | Factor. All Variable Costs associated with SCCTs will be |
| 7 | | allocated based upon the SSECT (Seasonal System Energy |
| 8 | | Combustion Turbine) Factor. |
| 9 | 2. | Seasonal Contracts: All Costs associated with the Seasonal |
| 10 | | Contracts will be allocated based upon the SSGP (Seasonal |
| 11 | | System Generation Purchases) Factor. |
| 12 | 3. | Cholla IV/ APS: All Fixed Costs associated with the Cholla |
| 13 | | Unit 4 and the seasonal exchange provided for in the APS |
| 14 | | Contract will be allocated based upon the SSGCH (Seasonal |
| 15 | | System Generation Cholla) Factor. All Variable Costs |
| 16 | | associated with Cholla Unit 4 and the seasonal exchange |
| 17 | | provided for in the APS Contract will be allocated based upon |
| 18 | | the SSECH (Seasonal System Energy Cholla) Factor. |
| 19 | | Following the expiration of the APS Contract, Cholla Unit 4 |
| 20 | | will be allocated as a System Resource and no longer allocated |
| 21 | | as a Seasonal Resource. |
| 22 | The l | MSP Standing Committee will review Seasonal Resources |
| 23 | crite | ria and allocation. Items to be considered include the seasonal |
| 24 | patte | rns of Resource operation to determine seasonality, the treatment |
| 25 | of as | sociated off-system sales, the value of operating reserves |
| 26 | prov | ided from Seasonal Resources, criteria to define seasonal |

1 Exchange Contracts and methods for allocating the costs of seasonal 2 exchange returns. 3 B. Regional Resources 4 Costs associated with Regional Resources will be assigned and 5 allocated as follows: 6 1. Hydro-Endowment: 7 a. Owned Hydro Embedded Cost Differential 8 Adjustment. The Owned Hydro Embedded Cost Differential 9 Adjustment is calculated as the Annual Embedded Costs - Hydro-10 Electric Resources, less the Annual Embedded Costs - All Other, 11 multiplied by the normalized MWh's of output from the Hydro-12 Electric Resources used to set rates (Hydro less All Other). The 13 Owned Hydro Embedded Cost Differential Adjustment will be 14 allocated on the DGP factor and the inverse amount will be allocated 15 on the SG factor. 16 b. Mid-Columbia Contract Embedded Cost Differential 17 Adjustment: The Mid-Columbia Contract Embedded Cost Differential 18 Adjustment is calculated as the Annual Mid-Columbia Contracts 19 Costs, less the Annual Embedded Costs – All Other, multiplied by the 20 normalized MWh's of output from the Mid-Columbia Contracts 21 (Mid-C less All Other). The allocation of Mid-Columbia Contracts to 22 each State is established pursuant to Appendix F. The Mid-Columbia 23 Embedded Cost Differential Adjustment will be allocated on the MC 24 factor and the inverse amount will be allocated on the SG factor. 25 Unless otherwise recommended by the MSP Standing c. 26 Committee, as long as the Oregon parties that originally supported

| I | | ratifica | ation of the Protocol continue to support the use of the Protocol |
|----|----|----------|---|
| 2 | | for pu | rposes of establishing the Company's Oregon revenue |
| 3 | | requir | ement, PacifiCorp will not propose or advocate any material |
| 4 | | chang | e in the Protocol provisions related to Hydro-Electric |
| 5 | | Resou | rces, Mid-Columbia Contracts and Existing QF Contracts. |
| 6 | | Provid | ded, however, the foregoing provision shall not prevent the |
| 7 | | Comp | any from complying with any Commission order. |
| 8 | C. | State | Resources |
| 9 | | Costs | associated with the three types of State Resources will be |
| 10 | | assign | ned as follows: |
| 11 | | 1. | Demand-Side Management Programs: Costs associated with |
| 12 | | | Demand-Side Management Programs will be assigned on a |
| 13 | | | situs basis to the State in which the investment is made. |
| 14 | | | Benefits from these programs, in the form of reduced |
| 15 | | | consumption, will be reflected through time in the Load-Based |
| 16 | | | Dynamic Allocation Factors. |
| 17 | | 2. | Portfolio Standards: Costs associated with Resources acquired |
| 18 | | | pursuant to a State Portfolio Standard, which exceed the costs |
| 19 | | | PacifiCorp would have otherwise incurred acquiring |
| 20 | | | Comparable Resources, will be assigned on a situs basis to the |
| 21 | | • | State adopting the standard. |
| 22 | | 3. | Qualifying Facilities (QF) Contracts: |
| 23 | | | a. Existing QF Contracts Embedded Cost Differential |
| 24 | | | Adjustment: The Existing QF Contracts Cost Differential |
| 25 | | | Adjustment is calculated as the Annual Existing QF |
| 26 | | | Contracts Costs for each State, less the Annual Embedded |

| I | | Costs - All Other, multiplied by the normalized Mwn's or |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | output from the respective State's Existing QF Contracts |
| 3 | | (State QF less All Other). The Existing QF Contract |
| 4 | | Embedded Cost Differential Adjustment will be allocated on |
| 5 | | a situs basis and the inverse amount will be allocated on the |
| 6 | | SG factor. |
| 7 | | b. New OF Contracts: Costs associated with any New |
| 8 | | QF Contract, which exceed the costs PacifiCorp would have |
| 9 | | otherwise incurred acquiring Comparable Resources, will be |
| 10 | | assigned on a situs basis to the State approving such contract. |
| 11 | D, | System Resources |
| 12 | | All Resources that are not Seasonal Resources, Regional Resources or |
| 13 | | State Resources are System Resources. Generally, all Fixed Costs |
| 14 | | associated with System Resources and all costs incurred under |
| 15 | | Wholesale Contracts will be allocated based upon the SG Factor. |
| 16 | | Generally, all Variable Costs associated with System Resources will |
| 17 | | be allocated based upon the SE Factor. Revenues received by the |
| 18 | | Company pursuant to Wholesale Contracts will be allocated based |
| 19 | | upon the SG Factor. A complete description of the allocation factors |
| 20 | | to be utilized is set forth in Appendix B. |
| 21 | E, | Load Growth |
| 22 | | In concert with the 2004 IRP cycle, the Company and parties will |
| 23 | | analyze and quantify potential cost shifts related to faster-growing |
| 24 | | States. ² In addition, a multi-state workgroup will track key factors |

² This issue will be monitored through studies that compute the costs allocated to each State for two cases: (a) with currently projected load growth (continued...)

| 1 | including actual relative growth rates, forecast relative growth rates, |
|----|---|
| 2 | costs of new Resources compared to costs of existing Resources, and |
| 3 | other factors deemed relevant to this issue. No later than nine months |
| 4 | after filing the 2004 IRP, the Company, in consultation with the MSP |
| 5 | Standing Committee and other parties, will file a report with the |
| 6 | Commissions regarding this issue. Included in this report will be a |
| 7 | description of one or more options for a structural protection |
| 8 | mechanism, detailed with sufficient specificity to allow timely |
| 9 | implementation in the event that the studies show a material and |
| 10 | sustained net harm to customers in any jurisdiction. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | The MSP Standing Committee is charged with developing one or |
| 13 | more ameliorative mechanisms that could be implemented in a timely |
| 14 | manner in the event that the studies show a material and sustained net |
| 15 | harm to particular States from the implementation of the IRP. The |
| 16 | MSP Standing Committee should consider the impact of load growth |
| 17 | in light of all other relevant factors. Potential mechanisms to be |
| 18 | studied include tiered allocations, treatment of Seasonal Resources, a |
| 19 | structural separation of the Company, temporary assignment of the |
| 20 | costs of some new Resources to fast-growing States, and the inclusion |
| 21 | of measures of recent load growth in the computation of allocation |
| 22 | factors. |

23

^{(...}continued)
together with a least-cost, least-risk mix of Resource additions to meet that growth
and (b) with the fastest-growing State growing at the average growth projected for
the remaining States, again with a least-cost, least-risk mix of Resource additions.

1 Refunctionalization and Allocation of Transmission Costs and Revenues 2 If the Company is required to refunctionalize assets that are currently 3 functionalized as "transmission" to "distribution", the cost responsibility for any 4 such refunctionalized assets will be assigned to the State where they are located. Any refunctionalization will be implemented under the guidance of the MSP Standing 5 6 Committee. Costs associated with transmission assets, and firm wheeling expenses and 7 8 revenues, will be classified as 75 percent Demand-Related, 25 percent Energy-9 Related and allocated among the States based upon the SG (System Generation) 10 factor. Non-firm wheeling expenses and revenues will be allocated among the States based upon the SE Factor. 11 12 13 VI. **Assignment of Distribution Costs** 14 All distribution-related expenses and investment that can be directly assigned 15 will be directly assigned to the state where they are located. Those costs that cannot 16 be directly assigned will be allocated among States consistent with the factors set 17 forth in Appendix B. 18 19 VII. Allocation of Administrative and General Costs 20 Administrative and general costs, costs of General Plant and costs of 21 Intangible Plant will be allocated among States consistent with the factors set forth in 22 Appendix B. 23 24 VIII. Allocation of Special Contracts 25 Revenues associated with Special Contracts will be included in State 26 revenues and loads of Special Contract customers will be included in all Load-Based

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 19 Page 10 of 20 Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: Andrea L. Kelly

1 Dynamic Allocation Factors. Special Contracts may or may not include Customer 2 Ancillary Service Contract attributes. In recognition that Special Contracts may take 3 different forms, Appendix D provides a written description and numeric example of 4 the regulatory treatment of Special Contracts and associated discounts. 5 IX. 6 Allocation of Gain or Loss from Sale of Resources or Transmission 7 **Assets** 8 Any loss or gain from the sale of a Resource (other than a Freed-Up 9 Resource) or a transmission asset will be allocated among States based upon the 10 allocation factor used to allocate the Fixed Costs of the Resource or the transmission 11 asset at the time of its sale. Each Commission will determine the appropriate 12 allocation of loss or gain allocated to that State as between State customers and 13 PacifiCorp shareholders. 14 15 **Implementation of Direct Access Programs** 16 A. Allocation of Costs and Benefits of Freed-Up Resources 17 1. Loads lost to Direct Access – Where the Company is required to 18 continue to plan for the load of Direct Access Customers, such 19 load will be included in Load-Based Dynamic Allocation Factors 20 for all Resources. 21 2. Loads of customers permanently choosing Direct Access or 22 permanently opting out of New Resources - Where the Company 23 is no longer required to plan for the load of customers who 24 permanently choose direct access or permanently opt out of New 25 Resources, such loads will be included in Load-Based Dynamic 26 Allocation Factors for all Existing Resources but will not be

| 7 | | included in Load-Based Dynamic Allocation ractors for New |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Resources acquired after the election to permanently choose |
| 3 | | Direct Access or opt out of New Resources. An effective date for |
| 4 | | this process will be established at such time as customers |
| 5 | | permanently choose Direct Access or opt out, and this process will |
| 6 | | be implemented under the guidance of the MSP Standing |
| 7 | | Committee. |
| 8 | | 3. In each State with Direct Access Customers, an additional step |
| 9 | | will take place for ratemaking purposes to establish a value or cost |
| 10 | | (which could include a transfer of Freed-Up Resources between |
| 11 | | customer classes within a State) resulting from the departure of |
| 12 | | the departing load; other States do not implement the second step. |
| 13 | В. | Freed-Up Resource Sale Approval |
| 14 | | Any proposed sale of a Freed-Up Resource for purposes of |
| 15 | | calculating transition charges or credits will be subject to applicable |
| 16 | | regulatory review and approval based upon a "no-harm" standard. |
| 17 | | States implementing Direct Access Programs that involve the sale of |
| 18 | | Freed-Up Resources will endeavor to propose a method for allocating |
| 19 | | the gain or loss on a sale to Direct Access Customers in a manner that |
| 20 | | satisfies the "no-harm" standard in respect to customers in the other |
| 21 | | States. The parties agree that they will not advocate a sale of Freed- |
| 22 | | Up Resources to be consummated if the proposed allocation of the |
| 23 | | gain or loss from the sale would cause the Company to distribute |
| 24 | | more than the total gain on a sale or recover less than the full amount |

of the total loss on a sale.

25

| 1 | C. | Allocation of Revenues and Costs from Direct Access Purchases |
|----|---------------|---|
| 2 | | and Sales |
| 3 | | Revenues and costs from Direct Access Purchases and Sales will be |
| 4 | | assigned situs to the State where the Direct Access Customers are |
| 5 | | located and will not be included in Net Power Costs. |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | XI. Loss | or Increase in Load |
| 8 | Any l | oss or increase in retail load occurring as a result of condemnation or |
| 9 | municipaliza | tion, sale or acquisition of new service territory which involves less than |
| 10 | five percent | of system load, realignment of service territories, changes in economic |
| 11 | conditions of | r gain or loss of large customers will be reflected in changes in Load- |
| 12 | Based Dynas | mic Allocation Factors. The allocation of costs and benefits arising from |
| 13 | merger, sale | and acquisition transactions proposed by the Company involving more |
| 14 | than five per | cent of system load will be dealt with on a case-by-case basis in the |
| 15 | course of Co | ommission approval proceedings. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | XII. Con | mission Regulation of Resources |
| 18 | Paci | fiCorp shall plan and acquire new Resources on a system-wide least cost, |
| 19 | least risk ba | sis. Prudently incurred investments in Resources will be reflected in |
| 20 | rates consis | tent with the laws and regulations in each State. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | XIII. Sus | tainability of Protocol |
| 23 | A. | Issues of Interpretation |
| 24 | If q | uestions of interpretation of the Protocol arise during rate proceedings |
| 25 | and/or audi | ts of results of PacifiCorp's operations, parties will attempt to resolve |

them with reference to the intent of the parties who have supported the ratification of 1 2 the Protocol. 3 В. **MSP Standing Committee** 1. An MSP Standing Committee will be organized consisting of one member or delegate of each Commission. The chair of the MSP 5 Standing Committee will be elected each year by the members of the 6 Committee. 2. The MSP Standing Committee will appoint a Standing Neutral, at the Company's expense, to facilitate discussions among States, 9 monitor issues and assist the MSP Standing Committee. 10 3. At least once during each calendar year, the Standing Neutral will 11 12 convene a meeting of the MSP Standing Committee and interested parties from all States for the purpose of discussing and monitoring 13 emerging inter-jurisdictional issues facing the Company and its 14 customers. The meetings will be open to all interested parties. 15 16 4. The MSP Standing Committee will consider possible amendments 17 to the Protocol that would be equitable to PacifiCorp customers in all 18 States and to the Company. The MSP Standing Committee will have 19 discretion to determine how best to encourage consensual resolution of issues arising under the Protocol. Its actions may include, but will 20 not be limited to: a) appointing a committee of interested parties to 21 study an issue and make recommendations, or b) retaining (at the 22 Company's expense) one or more disinterested parties to make 23 advisory findings on issues of fact arising under the Protocol. 24 5. The MSP Standing Committee has the immediate assignments of: 25 (a) developing one or more mechanisms that could be implemented in 26

1 a timely manner in the event that load growth studies show a material 2 and sustained net harm to particular States from the implementation 3 of the IRP; and (b) reviewing Seasonal Resources criteria and allocation, including seasonal patterns of Resource operation to 5 determine seasonality, treatment of associated off-system sales, the value of operating reserves provided from Seasonal Resources, 6 7 criteria to define seasonal Exchange Contracts and methods for 8 allocating the costs of seasonal exchange returns. 9 6. The work of the MSP Standing Committee will be supported by sound technical analysis. A party supporting ratification of the 10 11 Protocol will work in good faith to address issues being considered by the MSP Standing Committee. 12 C. 13 **Protocol Amendments** 14 Proposed amendments to the Protocol will be submitted by PacifiCorp 15 to each Commission for ratification. The Protocol will only be 16 deemed to have been amended if each of the Commissions who have previously ratified the Protocol ratifies the amendment. PacifiCorp 17 18 will not seek Commission ratification of any amendment to the 19 Protocol unless and until it has provided interested parties with at 20 least six months advance notice of its intent to do so and endeavored to obtain consensus regarding its proposed amendment. A party's 21 initial support or acceptance of the Protocol will not bind or be used 22 23 against that party in the event that unforeseen or changed

circumstances cause that party to conclude that the Protocol no longer

produces just and reasonable results. Prior to departing from the terms

of the Protocol, consistent with their legal obligations, Commissions

24

25

26

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 19 Page 15 of 20 Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: Andrea L. Kelly

and parties will endeavor to cause their concerns to be presented at 2 meetings of the MSP Standing Committee and interested parties from 3 all States in an attempt to achieve consensus on a proposed resolution of those concerns. 5 D. Interdependency among Commission Approvals 6 The Protocol has been developed by the parties as an integrated, inter-7 dependent, organic whole. Therefore, final ratification of the Protocol 8 by any of the Commissions of Oregon, Utah, Wyoming and Idaho, is 9 expressly conditioned upon similar ratification of the Protocol by the 10 other mentioned Commissions, without any deletion or alteration of a 11 material term, or the addition of other material terms or conditions. 12 Upon any rejection of the Protocol, or any material deletion, 13 alteration, or addition to its terms, by any one or more of the four 14 Commissions, the Commissions who have previously conditionally 15 adopted the Protocol shall initiate proceedings to determine whether 16 they should reaffirm their prior ratification of the Protocol, 17 notwithstanding the action of the other Commission or Commissions. 18 The Protocol shall only be in effect for a State upon final ratification 19 by its Commission. The Company will continue to bear the risk of

inconsistent allocation methods among the States.

1

20

Protocol - Appendix A

Defined Terms

For purposes of this Protocol, the following terms will have the following meanings:

"Annual Embedded Costs - All Other" means PacifiCorp's total normalized annual production costs expressed in dollars per MWh (not including costs associated with Hydro-Electric Resources, Mid-Columbia Contracts and Existing QF Contracts) as recorded in the FERC Accounts listed in Appendix E to the Protocol.

"Annual Embedded Costs – Hydro-Electric Resources" means PacifiCorp's total normalized annual production costs, expressed in dollars per MWh, associated with Hydro-Electric Resources as recorded in the FERC Accounts listed in Appendix E to the Protocol.

"Annual Mid-Columbia Contract Costs" means annual net costs incurred by PacifiCorp under the Mid-Columbia Contracts, expressed in dollars per MWh.

"APS Contract" means the Long-Term Power Transactions Agreement between PacifiCorp and Arizona Public Service Company dated September 21, 1990, as amended.

"Coincident Peak" means the hour each month that the combined demand of all PacifiCorp retail customers is greatest. In States using an historic test period, Coincident Peak is based upon actual, metered load data. In States using future test periods, Coincident Peak is based upon forecasted loads.

"Company" means PacifiCorp.

"Commission" means a utility regulatory commission in a State.

"Comparable Resource" means Resources with similar capacity factors, start-up costs, and other output and operating characteristics.

"Customer Ancillary Service Contracts" means contracts between the Company and a retail customer pursuant to which the Company pays the customer for the right to curtail service so as to lower the costs of operating the Company's system.

"Demand-Related Costs" means capital and other Fixed Costs incurred by the Company in order to be prepared to meet the maximum demand imposed upon its system.

"Demand-Side Management Programs" means programs intended to improve the efficiency of electricity use by PacifiCorp's retail customers.

"Direct Access Customers" means retail electricity consumers located in PacifiCorp's service territory that either; a) purchase electricity directly from a supplier other than PacifiCorp pursuant to a Direct Access Program or b) elect to have all or a portion of the electricity they purchase from PacifiCorp priced based upon market prices rather than the Company's traditional cost-of-service rate. If a State implements a Direct Access Program pursuant to which Freed-Up Resources are transferred between customer classes, such transfers shall be considered Direct Access Purchases and Sales.

"Direct Access Program" means a law or regulation that permits retail consumers located in PacifiCorp's service territory to purchase electricity directly from a supplier other than PacifiCorp.

"Direct Access Purchases and Sales" means Wholesale Contracts and Short-Term Purchases and Sales entered into by PacifiCorp either to supply customers who have become Direct Access Customers or to dispose of Freed-Up Resources.

"Energy-Related Costs" means costs, such as fuel costs that vary with the amount of energy delivered by the Company to its customers during any hour plus any portion of Fixed Costs that have been deemed to have been incurred by the Company in order to meet its energy requirements.

"Existing QF Contracts" means Qualifying Facility Contracts entered into prior to the effective date of this Protocol, but not such contracts renewed or extended subsequent to the effective date of this Protocol.

"Existing Resources" means Resources whose costs were committed to prior to Direct Access Customers making an election to permanently forego being served by the Company at a cost-of-service rate.

"Exchange Contracts" means Wholesale Contracts pursuant to which PacifiCorp accepts delivery of power at one place and/or point in time and delivers power at a different place and/or point in time.

"FERC" means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

"Fixed Costs" means costs incurred by the Company that do not vary with the amount of energy delivered by the Company to its customers during any hour.

"Freed-Up Resources" means Resources made available to the Company as a result of its customers becoming Direct Access Customers.

"General Plant" means capital investment included in FERC accounts 389 through 399.

"Grant County" means Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington

"Hydro-Electric Resources" means Company-owned hydro-electric plants located in Oregon, Washington or California.

"Intangible Plant" means capital investment included in FERC accounts 301 through 303.

"Load-Based Dynamic Allocation Factor" means an allocation factor that is calculated using States' monthly energy usage and/or States' contribution to monthly system Coincident Peak.

"Mid-Columbia Contracts" means the Power Sales Contract with Grant County dated May 22, 1956; the Power Sales Contract with Grant County dated June 22, 1959; the Priest Rapids Project Product Sales Contract with Grant County dated December 31, 2001; the Additional Products Sales Agreement with Grant County dated December 31, 2001; the Priest Rapids Project Reasonable Portion Power Sales Contract with Grant County dated December 31, 2001; the Power Sales Contract with Douglas County PUD dated September 18, 1963; the Power

Sales Contract with Chelan County PUD dated November 14, 1957 and all successor contracts thereto.

"Net Power Costs" means PacifiCorp's fuel and wheeling expenses and costs and revenues associated with Wholesale Contracts, Seasonal Contracts, Short-Term Purchases and Sales and Non-Firm Purchases and Sales.

"New QF Contracts" means Qualifying Facility Contracts that are not Existing QF Contracts.

"New Resources" means Resources that are not Existing Resources as established pursuant to Paragraph XA2 of the Protocol.

"Non-Firm Purchases and Sales" means transactions at wholesale that are not Wholesale Contracts, Seasonal Contracts, Short-Term Purchases and Sales or Direct Access Purchases and Sales.

"Portfolio Standard" means a State law or regulation that requires PacifiCorp to acquire: (a) a particular type of Resource, (b) a particular quantity of Resources, (c) Resources in a prescribed manner or (d) Resources located in a particular geographic area.

"Protocol" means this PacifiCorp Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol.

"Qualifying Facility Contracts" means contracts to purchase the output of small power production or cogeneration facilities developed under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA) and related State laws and regulations.

"Resources" means Company-owned and leased generating plants and mines, Wholesale Contracts, Seasonal Contracts, Short-Term Purchases and Sales and Non-firm Purchases and Sales.

"Seasonal Contract" means a Wholesale Contract pursuant to which the Company acquires power for five or less months during more than one year.

"Seasonal Resource" means: (a) a SECT owned or leased by the Company, (b) any Seasonal Contract or c) Cholla Unit 4.

"Short-Term Purchases and Sales" means physical or financial contracts pursuant to which PacifiCorp purchases, sells or exchanges firm power at wholesale and Customer Ancillary Service Contracts that are less than one year in duration.

"Simple-Cycle Combustion Turbines" or "SCCTs" means simple-cycle combustion turbine generating units.

"Special Contract" means a contract entered between PacifiCorp's and one of its retail customers with prices, term and conditions different from otherwise-applicable tariff rates. Special Contracts may provide for a discount to reflect Customer Ancillary Services Contract attributes.

"Special Contract Ancillary Service Discounts" means discounts from otherwise applicable rates provided for in Special Contracts.

"Standing Neutral" means an independent party, with experience in electric utility ratemaking, retained by the MSP Standing Committee to facilitate discussions among States, monitor issues and assist the MSP Standing Committee as required.

"State Resources" means Resources whose costs are assigned to a single State to accommodate State-specific policy preferences.

"System Resources" means Resources that are not Seasonal Resources, Regional Resources, State Resources or Direct Access Purchases and Sales and whose associated costs and revenues are allocated among all States on a dynamic basis.

"State" means Utah, Oregon, Wyoming, Idaho, Washington or California.

"Variable Costs" means costs incurred by the Company that vary with the amount of energy delivered by the Company to its customers during any hour.

"Wholesale Contracts" means physical or financial contracts pursuant to which PacifiCorp purchases, sells or exchanges firm power at wholesale and Customer Ancillary Service Contracts that have a term of one year or longer.

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 18 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Pase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| | FERC | | ALLOCATION |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| | ACCT | DESCRIPTION | FACTOR |
| 282 | 282 Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes | | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| | | Depreciation | DITBAL |
| | | Hydro Pacific | SG |
| | | Production, Transmission | \$G |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| | | General | \$O |
| | | Miscellaneous | SNP |
| | | Trajan | TROJP |
| | | | |
| 263 | | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | 8 |
| | | Depreciation | DITBAL |
| | | Hydro Pacific | SG |
| | | Production, Transmission | \$G |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| | | General | \$ Ø |
| | | Miscellaneous | SNP |
| | | Trojan | TROJP |
| | | | |
| 255 | | Accumulated Investment Tax Credit | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | Investment Tax Credits | ITC84 |
| | | Investment Tax Credits | ITC85 |
| | | Investment Tax Credits | ITC86 |
| | | Investment Tax Credits | ITC88 |
| | | Investment Tax Credits | ITC89 |
| | | Investment Tax Credits | ITC90 |
| | | Investment Tax Credits | DGU |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 19 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requirementase No. PAC-E-02-3 Wilness: David L. Taylor

| FEBG <u>AGCT</u> PRODUCTION PLANT A | ogum depreciation | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 108SP | | | | | | |
| | Remaining Steam | Plants | SG | | | |
| | Reaking Plants | | SSGCT | | | |
| | Cholla | | SSGCH | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 108NP | Nuclear Prod Blant Accumulated Depr | | | | | |
| | Nuclear Blant | | SG | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 108HP | Hydraulic Prod Plant Accum Depr | | | | | |
| | Ractic Hydro | | 9 <u>G</u> | | | |
| | East Hydro | | SQ | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| 108QP | Other Production Plant - Accum Depr | | | | | |
| | Other Broduction I | 2lent . | \$G | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TRANS PLANT ACCUM | DEPR | | | | | |
| 106TP | Transmission Blant Accumulated Depr | | | | | |
| | Trensmission Plan | t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | ŞĢ | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| DISTRIBUTION PLANT | ACCUM DEPR | | | | | |
| 108360 - 108373 | Distribution Plant Accumulated Depr | | | | | |
| | Direct assigned | Jurisdiction | S | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 108000 | Unclassified Dist Plant - Acct 300 | | | | | |
| | Direct assigned : | Jurisdiction | S | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 108DS | Unclassified Dist Sub Plant - Acct 300 | | | | | |
| acces & soil | Direct assigned | Jurisdiction | ş | | | |
| | An article of the second secon | regers ence: - Kill C | | | | |
| 108DP | Unclassified Dist Sub Plant - Acct 300 | | | | | |
| Mesonia L | Direct assigned : | Jurisdiction | s | | | |
| | and the second s | • | | | | |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 20 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremenfase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC <u>ACCT</u> | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|---------------------|--|----------------------|
| GENERAL PLANT ACC | | |
| 108GP | General Plant Accumulated Depr | |
| | Distribution | \$ |
| | Remaining Steam Plants | SG |
| | Peaking Plants | SSGCT |
| | Chelle | SSGCH |
| | Pacific Hydro | SG |
| | East Hydro | SG |
| | Trensmission | SG |
| | Customer Related | CN |
| | General 60 | SO |
| 108MP | Mining Plant Accumulated Depr. Mining Plant Less Centralia Situs Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | SE: |
| 1081390 | Accum Depr - Capital Lease General | so |
| 1081399 | Accum Depr - Capital Leese Direct essigned - Jurisdiction | s |

Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Exhibit No. 21 Witness: David L. Taylor

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PACIFICORP

Exhibit Accompanying Supplemental Direct Testimony of David L. Taylor

Appendix B – Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requirement

July 2004

Protocol Appendix B Exhibit No. 21 Rage 1 of 21 Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Winess: David L. Taylor Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requirement

| FEBC <u>ACCT</u> Sales to Ultimate Custon | ners | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|---|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| 440 | Residential Sales | Oirect essigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| 442 | Commercial & Industr | lal Sales Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | ş |
| 444 | Public Street & Highw | nay Lighting Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| 445 | Other Sales to Bublic | Authority Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | ş |
| 448 | interdepartmental | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | \$ |
| 447 | Sales for Resale | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Non-Firm Firm | 9 9E 6G |
| 44 9 | Provision for Bate Ba | fund Direct assigned = Jurisdiction | S ŞG |
| Other Electric Operating | 3 Revenues | | |
| 450 | Forfeited Discounts & | l Interest Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | Ş |
| 451 | Misc Electric Bevenu | g Direct assigned : Jurisdiction Other : Common | s so |
| 454 | Rent of Electric Brop | erty Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Common | s sg |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 2 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| 456 | v c | | ALLOCATION FACTOR S SE SO SG |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Miscella | ineous Revenues | | |
| 41160 | Gain on Sale of Utility F | Plant - CFI | |
| | C | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | F | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | (| General Office | SO |
| 41170 | Loss on Sale of Utility F | Plant | |
| | Ε | Pirect assigned - Jurisdiction | Ş |
| | | Production, Transmission | \$G |
| | (| General Office | <u>s</u> ø |
| 4118 | Gain from Emission All | lowances | |
| | \$ | SQ2 Emission Allowance sales | SE |
| 41181 | Gain from Disposition (| of NOX Gredits | |
| | 1 | NOX Emission Allowance sales | ŞE |
| 421 | (Gain) / Loss on Sale o | of Utility Plant | |
| | • | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | \$ |
| | l l | Production, Transmission | 6G |
| | • | General Office | SO |
| Miscelle | eneque Expenses | | |
| 4311 | Interest on Customer I | Deposits | • |
| | | Utah Gustomer Service Deposits | GN |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 3 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Fase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC <u>ACCT</u> Steam Power Generati | on | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION <u>FACTOR</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 500, 502, 504-514 | Operation Supervisi | ion & Enginearing | |
| | | Remaining Steam Plants | SG |
| | | Peaking Plants | SSGCT |
| | | Cholia | SSGCH |
| | | | |
| 501 | Fuel Related | | |
| | | Remaining steam plants | . SE |
| | | Peaking Plants | SSECT |
| | | Cholia | SSECH |
| | | | |
| 503 | Steam From Other | Sources | |
| | | Steam Royalties | SE |
| | | | |
| Nuclear Power Genera | tion | | |
| 517 - 582 | Nuclear Power O&I | м | |
| थ्यु-ट व्यवस्था | - Jenester red - an ermit op and | Nuclear Plants | SG |
| | | - | |
| | | | |
| Hydraulic Power Gene | | | |
| 535 - 545 | Hydro O&M | | •• |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SG SG |
| | | East Hydro | 50 |
| | | | |
| Other Power Generation | on | | |
| 546, 548-554 | Operation Super & | Engineering | |
| | | Other Production Plant | SG |
| | | | |
| 547 | Fuel | | |
| M.z.i. | t. ABI | Other Fuel Expense | SE |
| | | च्या राज्या व्याप्तिका । अस्य | |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 4 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Fase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness; David L. Taylor

| | | · · | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------|
| FERC | | | ALLOCATION |
| ACCT | | DESCRIPTION | FACTOR |
| Other Power Supply | | g | |
| 555 | Purchased Power | | |
| | Direc | ct assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| | Firm | ı | SG |
| | Non- | -firm | SE |
| | 100 | MW Hydro Extension | sg |
| | Peal | king Contracts | SSGC |
| 556 - 557 | System Control & Load Dis | apatch | |
| | Othe | er Expenses | SG |
| | Embedded Cost Differentk | al Endowments | |
| | Сел | npany Owned Hydro Embedded Cost Differential (Hydro less All Other) | DGP |
| | Con | npany Owned Hydro Embedded Cost Differential (All Other less Hydro) | SG |
| | Mid- | -Columbia Contract Embedded Cost Differential (Mid C less All Other) | MC |
| | Mid- | -Columbia Contract Embedded Cost Differential (All Other less Mid 6) | 89 |
| | Exis | sting QF Contracts Embedded Cost Differential (QF less- All Other) | 8 |
| | Exis | ating QF Contracts Embedded Cost Differential (All Other less QF) | SG |
| TRANSMISSION EXP | ENSE | | |
| 560-564, 566-573 | Transmission O&M | | |
| | Tran | namission Plant | SG |
| 565 | Transmission of Electricity | by Others | |
| | Firm | n Wheeling | SG |
| | Non | n-Firm Wheeling | SE |
| DISTRIBUTION EXPI | :NSE | | |
| 580 - 598 | Distribution O&M | | |
| | Dire | ect assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| | Oth | ner Distribution | SNPD |
| CUSTOMER ACCOU | NTC EVENICE | | |
| 901 - 905 | Gustomer Accounts O&M | • | |
| dri a Brita | | , ect assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | tal System Customer Related | CN |
| | | | |
| CUSTOMER SERVIC | * | | |
| 907 - 910 | Customer Service Q&M | | |
| | | ect assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | Tet | tal System Customer Related | CN |
| SALES EXPENSE | | | |
| 911 - 916 | Sales Expense O&M | | |
| | * | rect assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| | | tal System Customer Related | CN |
| | | • | |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 5 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Pase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L., Taylor

| ACCT DESCRIPTION FACTOR ADMINISTRATIVE & GEN EXPENSE 920-935 Administrative & General Æxpense Direct assigned - Jurisdiction S Customer Related CN General SQ Gene | ADMINISTRATIVE & G | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--|-------|
| 1 | | | | |
| Discretassigned - Jurisdiction S Customer Related CN Concerned So So FERC Regulatory Expense SG | 920-935 | | | |
| Customer Related CN | | Administrative & (| | • |
| Ceneral SO | | | | |
| DEPRECIATION EXPENSE | | | | |
| DEPRECIATION EXPENSE 403SP Steam Depreciation Remaining Steam Plants SGC Peaking Plants SSGCH 403NP Nuclear Depreciation Nuclear Plant SG 403HP Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 SG 404 SG 405 SG 405 SG 406 SG 407 SG 407 SG 408 SG 409 SG 40 | | | | |
| A03SP Steam Depreciation Remaining Steam Plants SGCT SSGCT | | | FERC Regulatory Expense | SG |
| Remaining Steam Plants SG SSGCT Cholks SSGCT | DEPRECIATION EXPE | ENSE | | |
| Peaking Plants SSGCT Choils SSGCH 403NP Nuclear Depreciation Nuclear Plant SG 403HP Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Signed - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights SSUCH Structures SSUCH Poles & Towers SSUCH Plant SSG 403 UG Conduit SSC Lin & Trans SSC Lin & Trans SSC A03 OF SSG SSG SSG SSG SSG SSG SSG SSG | 403SP | Steam Depreciati | on | |
| 403NP Nuclear Depreciation Nuclear Plant SG 403HP Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction S 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction S 404 Structures S 3 Station Equipment S 4 Conductors S 4 Conductors S 4 Conductor < | | | Remaining Steam Plants | SG |
| A03NP Nuclear Depreciation Nuclear Plant SG 403HP Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Sg Structures Sg Structu | | | Peaking Plants | SSGCT |
| Nuclear Plant SG Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG A03OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG A03TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG A03 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Structures Structures Structures SS ST S | | | Cholla | SSGCH |
| Nuclear Plant SG Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG A03OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG A03TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG A03 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Structures Structures Structures SS ST S | | | | |
| Nuclear Plant SG Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG A03OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG A03TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG A03 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Structures Structures Structures SS ST S | | | | |
| Nuclear Plant SG Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG A03OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG A03TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG A03 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Structures Structures Structures SS ST S | | | | |
| 403HP Hydro Depreciation Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 409TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Sgructures Sglation Equipment Sgructures Sglation Equipment Sgructures Sglation Equipment Sgructures Sgructures Sglation Equipment Sgructures Sgructures Sgructures Sgructures Sglation Equipment Sgructures Sgruct | 403NP | Nuclear Deprecia | | 96 |
| Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Sgutuctures Sgutuctures Sgutation Equipment Sgutation Eq | | | NUGIBE PIBRI | 9/2 |
| Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Sgutuctures Sgutuctures Sgutation Equipment Sgutation Eq | | | | |
| Pacific Hydro SG East Hydro SG 403OP Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures S | 403HP | Hydro Depreciati | on | |
| East Hydro Other Production Depreciation Other Production Plant Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant Other Production Plant SG 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structur | | .,, | | SG |
| Other Production Plant Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Station Equipment Poles & Towers OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conduit Structures S | | | | SG |
| Other Production Plant Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Station Equipment Poles & Towers OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conduit Structures S | | | | |
| Other Production Plant Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Str | | | | |
| 403TP Transmission Depreciation Transmission Plant SG 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights S Structures S Structures S Station Equipment S Poles & Towers S OH Conductors S UG Conduit S UG Conductor S Lina Trans S | 403OP | Other Production | Depreciation | |
| Transmission Plant 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Station Equipment Poles & Towers OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conductor Structures Structures SS | | | Other Production Plant | SG |
| Transmission Plant 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Station Equipment Poles & Towers OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conductor Structures Structures SS | | | | |
| Transmission Plant 403 Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Station Equipment Poles & Towers OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conductor Structures Structures SS | 400 % D | Tanana la dan Ba | | |
| Distribution Depreciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Land & Land Rights Structures Structures Station Equipment SPoles & Towers OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conductor SILING Trans S | 4031P | | | 96 |
| Land & Land Rights Structures Station Equipment Station Equipment Solutions OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conductor Solutions Ling Trans Solutions S | | | Hansmeston Pent | ÇG |
| Land & Land Rights Structures Station Equipment Station Equipment Solutions OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conductor Solutions Ling Trans Solutions S | | | | |
| Land & Land Rights Structures Station Equipment Station Equipment Solutions OH Conductors UG Conduit UG Conductor Solutions Ling Trans Solutions S | | | | |
| Structures S Station Equipment S Poles & Towers S OH Conductors S UG Conduit S UG Conductor S Ling Trans S | 403 | Distribution Depr | reciation Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | |
| Station Equipment S Poles & Towers S OH Conductors S UG Conduit S UG Conductor S Lina Trans S | | | Land & Land Rights | S |
| Poles & Towers S OH Conductors S UG Conduit S UG Conductor S Line Trans S | | | Structures | S |
| OH Conductors S UG Conduit S UG Conductor S Lina Trans S | | | Station Equipment | S |
| UG Conduit S UG Conductor S Lina Trans S | | | Poles & Towers | S |
| UG Conductor S Lina Trans S | | | OH Conductors | |
| Lina Trans S | | | UG Conduit | |
| | | | UG Conductor | |
| Servines S | | | Lina Trans | |
| | | | Services | S |
| Meters | | | | |
| Inst Cust Prem S | | | | |
| Leased Property S | | | | |
| Street Lighting S | | | Street Lighting | \$ |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 6 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| | FERC ACCT | DESCRIPTION , | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| 403GP | General Depreciation | | |
| | | Distribution | ş |
| | | Remaining Steam Plants | SG |
| | | Peaking Plants | SSGCT |
| | | Chella | SSGCH |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SG |
| | | East Hydro | SG |
| | | Transmission | sa |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| | | General SQ | 90 |
| 403MP | Mining Depreciation | | |
| | | Remaining Mining Plant | SE |
| | ZATION EXPENSE | Parital Lagra Can | |
| 404GP | Amort of LT Plant = 0 | Pirect assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | General | so |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| 404SP | Amort of LT Plant - 0 | Cap Lease Steam | |
| | | Steam Production Plant | SG |
| 404IP | Amort of LT Plant - I | • | _ |
| | | Distribution | S |
| | | Production, Transmission | 8G |
| | | General Minima Plant | SO SE |
| | | Mining Plant Customer Related | ĈN 96 |
| 404MP | Amort of LT Plant - | Mining Plant | |
| | | Mining Plant | SE |
| 404HP | Amortization of Other | | |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SG. |
| | | East Hydro | SG |
| 405 | Amortization of Other | er Electric Plant | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 7 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Page No. PAC:E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC ACCT | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| 406 | Amerization of Plant Acquisition Adj | |
| - | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | Broduction Blant | SG |
| | | |
| 407 | Amort of Brog Losses, Unrec Blant, etc | |
| | Qirect assigned - Jurisdiction | Ş |
| | Rroduction, Transmission | 8G |
| | Trojan | TROJP |
| Taxes Other Than Inco | • | |
| 408 | Taxes Other Then Income | |
| | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| | Rroperty | GPS |
| | General Rayroll Taxes | 80 85 |
| | Misc Energy | SE SO |
| | Miss Broduction | \$G |
| DEFERRED ITC | | |
| 41140 | Deferred Investment Tax Credit - Fed | |
| | uc | DGU |
| 41141 | Referred investment Tax Credit - Idalyo | |
| ूर्वस्तुव | IIC delarate presidente est artea e passa | pgn |
| | ₩ ₹ | 100°25. |
| | | |
| | | |
| Interest Expense | | |
| 427 | Interest on Long-Term Debt | |
| | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | Ş |
| | (nlerest Expense | SNB |
| 428 | Amortization of Debt Disg & Exp | SNR |
| | Interest Expense | M ode |
| 429 | Amerization of Rremium on Debt | |
| ···· | Interest Expense | SNP |
| 431 | Other Interest Expense | |
| | interest Expense | ŞNR |
| 432 | AEUDG - Borgwed | |
| NEW COLUMN | AFUDC | SNP |
| | を表現状 変 | केट संबद्ध. |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 8 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC ACCT | | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Interest & Dividends | | | |
| 419 | Interest & Dividends | | |
| | | Interest & Dividends | SNP |
| DEFERRED INCOME T | FAXES | | |
| 41010 | Deferred Income Ta | x - Federal-DR | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | Electric Plant in Service | DITEXP |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SG |
| | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | Guatomer Related | CN |
| | | General | တေ |
| | | Property Tax related | GPS |
| | | Miscellaneous | SNP |
| | | Trojan | PLORT |
| | | Distribution | 8NPD |
| | | Mining Plant | SE |
| 41011 | Deferred Income Ta | ux - State-DR Direct assigned - Jurisdiction Electric Plant in Service Pacific Hydro | S DITEXP SG |
| | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| | | General | SO |
| | | Property Tax related | GPS |
| | | Miscellaneous | SNP |
| | | Trojen | TROJP |
| | | Distribution | SNPD |
| | | Mining Plant | SE |
| | | | |
| 41110 | Deferred Income Ta | | _ |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | \$ |
| | | Electric Plant in Service | DITEXP |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SG |
| | | Production, Transmission | 8G |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| | | General | SO 686 |
| | | Property Tax related | GPS |
| | | Miscellaneous | SNP |
| | | Trojan | TROJP |
| | | Distribution | SNRD |
| | | Mining Plant | SE |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 9 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| | FERC | | | ALLOCATION |
|--------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | ACCT | | DESCRIPTION | FACTOR |
| 41111 | De | ferred income Tax - S | State-CR | |
| | | D | irect assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| | | E | lectric Plant in Service | DITEXP |
| | | Pi | acific Hydro | SG |
| | | P | roduction, Transmission | §G |
| | | c | ustomer Related | CN |
| | | G | eneral | so |
| | | P | roperty Tax related | GPS |
| | | м | iscellaneous | SNP |
| | | Ti | rojan | TROJP |
| | | 0 | Istribution | SNPD |
| | | M | lining Plant | SE |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | ULE - M ADDITIONS | | | |
| SCHMA | F A | Additions - Flow Throu | ~ | |
| | | D | irect assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | _ | | | |
| SCHMA | AP A | Additions - Permanent | | |
| | | | lining related | SE |
| | | G · | eneral | SO |
| 201111 | _ | | | |
| SCHMA | AT A | Additions - Temporary | | • |
| | | | irect assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | | contributions in aid of construction | CIAC |
| | | | discellaneous | TROJP |
| | • | | rojan | SG SG |
| | | | acific Hydro fining Plant | SE |
| | | | reduction, Transmission | SG |
| | | | | GPS |
| | | | Property Tax | SO |
| | | | Anneral September 1997 | SCHMDEXP |
| | | L, | Depreciation | SCHMINEVE |

ALLOCATION

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 10 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Fase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

FERC

| AGOT | | RECODITION | FACTOR |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ACCT | TIONE | DESCRIPTION | Car (rec |
| SCHEDULE - M DEDUC | | h-accept. | |
| SCHMDF | Deductions - Flow To | - | ş |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | SG. |
| | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | Pagific Hydro | 90 |
| SCHMDP | Deductions - Perma | inent | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | Mining Related | SE |
| | | Miscellaneous | SNP |
| | | General | SO |
| | | | |
| SCHMDT | Deductions - Tempo | | s |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | BADDEBT |
| | | Bad Debt | SNP |
| | | Miscellaneous | SG |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SE |
| | | Mining related | 3 <u>6</u> 8 <u>G</u> |
| | | Production, Transmission | GPS |
| | | Property Tax | SO SO |
| | | General Depraciation | TAXDEPR |
| | | Distribution | SNPD |
| | | | |
| State Income Taxes | | | |
| 40911 | State Income Taxes | | |
| | | Income Before Taxes | TQI |
| 40910 | | FIT True-up | s |
| 40910 | | Wyoming Wind Tax Credit | SG |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Steam Production Pla | nt | | |
| 310 - 316 | | | |
| | | Remaining Steam Plants | SG |
| | | Peaking Plants | SSGCT |
| | | Cholla | SSGCH |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| Nuclear Production P | lant | | |
| 320-325 | | Muslam Bland | SG |
| | • | Nuclear Plant | 90 |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 11 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC <u>ACCT</u> Hydraulic Plant | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| 390-336 | Pacific Hydro East Hydro | SG SG |
| Other Production Plant 340-346 | Other Production Plant | \$G |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT 350-359 | Transmission Plant | SG |
| DISTRIBUTION PLANT 360-373 | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 12 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC <u>ACCT</u> GENERAL RLANT 380 - 398 | | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| 22 TO 10 TO | | Distribution | \$ |
| | | Remaining Steam Plants | SG . |
| | | Peaking Plants | SSGCT |
| | | Cholia | SSGCH |
| | | Pacific Hydro | 86 |
| | | East Hydro | SG |
| | | Transmission | sa |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| | | General SO | so |
| 399 399L | Coal Mine WIDCO Capital I | Remaining Mining Plant Lease | SE . |
| , | | WIDCO Capital Lease | SE |
| 1011390 | General Capital | Loases | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | General | SO |
| | | | |
| GP | Unclassified Ger | n Plant - Acct 300 | |
| | | Distribution | S |
| | | Remaining Steam Plants | 8G |
| | | Peaking Plants | SSGCT |
| | | Cholla | SSGCH |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SG |
| | | East Hydro | SG |
| | | Transmission | 8G |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| | | General | 80 |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 13 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Face No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| intangible blant Eebc | | DESCRIPTION . | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| 301 | Organization | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | Ş |
| 302 | Eranchise & Consen | , | |
| 120 MC | 200 | Direct assigned Jurisdiction | ş |
| | | Broduction, Transmission | sg |
| 303 | Miscelleneous Intan | | |
| | | Distribution | § °^ |
| | | Remaining Steam Plants | SG |
| | | Reaking Plante | SSGCT |
| | | Cholla | SSGCH |
| | | Pacific Hydro | SG SC |
| | | East Hydro | SG SC |
| | | Transmission | SG |
| | | Customer Belated | ÇŅ S |
| | | Geogral | SQ |
| | | | |
| 303 | Less Non-Utility Pla | gt - Carlotte - Carlot | |
| | | Olrect assigned - Jurisdiction | ş |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 14 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| | ERC CCT | | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|-------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| 105 | CONTINUE | Plant Held For Future | llea | |
| 100 | | PIGHT FIRM FOI PUIDIG | | S |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | |
| | | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | | Mining Plant | SE |
| | | Elevate Block Acceptable | lon Advisor ante | |
| 114 | | Electric Plant Acquisit | | s |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | SG |
| | | | Production Plant | 50 |
| 445 | | Acquire Bravisian for | Appet Application Adjustments | |
| 115 | | ACCUM Provision for | Asset Acquisition Adjustments | S |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | |
| | | | Production Plant | \$G |
| 120 | | Nuclear Fuel | | |
| ışu | | MARINE LABI | Nuclear Fuel | ŞĘ |
| | | | Number Pulis | 44 |
| 104 | | Menthorization | | |
| 124 | | Weatherization | Direct conference Invitables | S |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | e SO |
| | | | General | 90 |
| | | | | |
| 182W | | Weatherization | | |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | | | |
| 186W | | Weatherization | | |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | 8 |
| | | | | |
| 151 | | Fuel Stock | | |
| | | | Steam Production Plant | 8E |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 152 | | Fuel Stock - Undistri | butad | |
| | | | Steam Production Plant | SE |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 25316 | | DG&T Working Cap | | Off |
| | | | Mining Plant | SE |
| | | | | |
| 25317 | | DG&T Working Cap | ital Deposit | |
| | | | Mining Plant | SE |
| | | | | |
| 25319 | | Provo Working Cap | ital Deposit | |
| | | | Mining Plant | SE |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 15 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| | FERC ACCT | | DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|-------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| 154 | | Materials and Supplier | . | |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | | Mining | SE |
| | | | General | so |
| | | | Production - Common | SNPPS |
| | | | Hydro | SNPPH |
| | | | Distribution | SNPD |
| | | | | SG |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 163 | | Stores Expense Undi | stributed | |
| | | · | General | SO |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 25318 | | Provo Working Capita | al Deposit | |
| | | | Proyo Working Capital Deposit | SNPP8 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 165 | | Prepayments | | |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | \$ |
| | | | Property Tax | GPS |
| | | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | | Mining | SE |
| | | | General | SO |
| | | | • | |
| | | | | |
| 182M | | Misc Regulatory Ass | | _ |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | • | Cholla Transaction Costs | SSGCH |
| | | | Mining | SE |
| | | | General | so |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 186M | | Misc Deferred Debits | | s |
| | | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | • |
| | | | Production, Transmission | 9G |
| | | | General | 80 85 |
| | | | Mining | SE SNPPS |
| | | | Production - Common | SMFFS |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 16 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Page No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC ACCI Working Capital CWC | DESCRIPTION Cash Working Capital | ALLOCATION <u>FACTOR</u> |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | \$ |
| | | • |
| owc | Other Working Capital | |
| 131 | Cash | SNP |
| 135 | Working Funds | SG |
| 143 | Other Accounts Receivable | so |
| 232 | Accounts Payable | so |
| 232 | Accounts Payable | SE |
| 253 | Deferred Hedge | SE |
| 25330 | Other Deferred Credits - Misc | SE |
| | | |
| Miscellaneous R | late Base | |
| 18221 | Unrec Plant & Reg Study Costs | |
| | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | 6 |
| | | |
| 18222 | Nuclear Plant - Trojan | |
| | Trojan Plant | TROJP |
| | Trojan Plant | TROJD |
| | | |
| 141 | Impact Housing - Notes Receivable | |
| | Employee Loans - Hunter Plant | SG |
| | | |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 17 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen£ase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| A | ERC CCT Deductions | DESCRIPTION Customer Service Deposits | ALLOCATION FACTOR |
|-------|--------------------------|--|----------------------|
| 200 | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| 2281 | | Prov for Property Insurance | so |
| 2282 | | Proy for Injuries & Damages | SO |
| 2283 | | Prov for Pensions and Benefits | so |
| 22841 | | Accum Misc Oper Prov-Black Lung | |
| | | Mining | SE |
| 22842 | | Accum Misc Oper Prov-Trojan | • |
| | | Trojan Plant | TROJD |
| | | | |
| 252 | | Customer Advances for Construction | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | Customer Related | CN |
| 25399 | | Other Deferred Credits | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s |
| | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | Mining | SE |
| 190 | | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes | |
| | | Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | S |
| | | Bad Debt | BADDEBT |
| | | Pacific Hydro | sg |
| | | Production, Transmission | SG |
| | | Customer Related | QN |
| | | General | SQ |
| | | Miscellaneous | SNP |
| | | Trojan | TROJP |
| | | | |
| 281 | | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes | |
| | | Production, Transmission | SG |

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 21 Page 21 of 21 Allocation Factor Applied to each Component of Revenue Requiremen Fase No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

| FERC ACCIT ACCUM PROVISION F | FOR AMORTIZATION Accum Prov for Amo | DESCRIPTION rt-Steam Remaining Steam Plants Peaking Plants Cholla | ALLOCATION FACTOR SG SSGCT SSGCH |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 111GP | Accum Prov for Amo | rt-General Distribution Remaining Steam Plants Peaking Plants Cholla Pacific Hydro East Hydro Transmission Customer Related General SO | S, SG SSGCT SSGCH SG SG SG CN SO |
| 111HP | Accum Prov for Amo | rt-Hydro Paeific Hydro East Hydro | SG SG |
| 111IP | Accum Prov for Amo | ort-Intangible Plant Distribution Pacific Hydro Production, Transmission General Mining Customer Related | S SG SO SE CN |
| 111IP | Less Non-Utility Plan | nt Direct assigned - Jurisdiction | s , |
| 111399 | Accum Prov for Amo | ort-Mining Mining Plant | SE |

Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Exhibit No. 22 Witness: David L. Taylor

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PACIFICORP

Exhibit Accompanying Supplemental Direct Testimony of David L. Taylor

Appendix C - Allocation Factor - Algebraic Definitions

July 2004

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 22 Page 1 of 18 Docket No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

Revised Protocol Appendix C Allocation Factors Algebraic Definitions July 15, 2004

Allocation Factors

PacifiCorp serves eight jurisdictions. Jurisdictions are represented by the index i = California, Idaho, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Eastern Wyoming, Western Wyoming, & FERC.

The following assumptions are made in the factor definitions:

It is assumed that the 12CP (j=1 to 12) method is used in defining the System Capacity.

It is assumed that twelve months (j=1 to 12) method is used in defining the System Energy.

In defining the System Generation Factor, the weighting of 75% System Capacity, 25% System Energy is assumed to continue.

While it is agreed that the peak loads & input energy should be temperature adjusted, no decision has been made upon the methodology to do these adjustments.

System Capacity Factor (SC)

$$SCi = \sum_{j=1}^{12} TAP_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{12} TAP_{ij}$$

wnere:

= System Capacity Factor for jurisdiction i.

Temperature Adjusted Peak Load of jurisdiction i in month j at the time of the System Peak.

System Energy Factor (SE)

$$\langle \text{Edi} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} TAKE_{i}$$

$$|\text{Edi} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} TAKE_{i}$$

$$|\text{Edi} \neq i|$$

where:

 SE_i

System Generation Factor (SG)

SG:=.75* SC:+.25* SE:

where:

Seasonal System Generation Combustion Turbine (SSGCT)

$$SSGCTi = (\frac{12}{f^{24}}WMO_{jci} * TAP_{ij}$$

$$SSGCTi = (\frac{1}{s} \frac{12}{12}WMO_{jci} * TAP_{ij}) * .75 + (\frac{1}{s} \frac{12}{12}WMO_{jci} * TAE_{ij}) * .25$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} WMO_{jci} * TAP_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{1}{j-1}WMO_{jci} * TAE_{ij}$$

Seasonal System Generation Combustion Turbine Factor for jurisdiction i. SSGCTi =

$$WMO_{yCT} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{F_{jcl}}{\sum_{l=1}^{n}}$$
 Weighted monthly energy generation of combustion turbine

where:

$$E_{jcr}$$
 = Monthly Energy generation of combustion turbine ct in month j.
 n = Number of combustion turbines

Temperature Adjusted Peak Load of jurisdiction i in month j at the time of the System Peak. I

$$TAE_{ij}$$
 = Temperature Adjusted Input Energy of jurisdiction i in month j.

Seasonal System Energy Combustion Turbine (SSECT)

$$SSECTi = \sum_{j=1}^{12} WMO_{jcl} * TAE_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{8} \sum_{j=1}^{12} WMO_{jcl} * TAE_{ij}$$

where:

Seasonal System Energy Combustion Turbine Factor for jurisdiction i. SSECTi =

$$WMO_{jCT} = rac{\sum_{lpha=1}^{n} E_{jlpha}}{\sum_{lpha}^{n} \sum_{jlpha=1}^{n} E_{jlpha}}$$

Weighted monthly energy generation of combustion turbine

Monthly Energy generation of combustion turbine ct in month j. Number of combustion turbines

where:

Temperature Adjusted Input Energy of jurisdiction i in month j. li

Seasonal System Generation Purchases (SSGP)

$$SSGPi = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{12}{\sqrt{1-4}}WMO_{jsp} * TAP_{ij} & \sum_{j=1}^{12}WMO_{jsp} * TAE_{ij} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{j=1}WMO_{jsp} * TAP_{ij} & \sum_{i=1}^{12}WMO_{jsp} * TAE_{ij} \end{array}\right) * .25$$

where:

SSGPi = Seasonal System Generation Purchases Factor for jurisdiction i.

$$WMO_{iSP} = \sum_{sp=1}^{n} E_{jsp}$$

$$\nabla WMO_{iSP} = \sum_{sp=1}^{12} \sqrt{n} E_{jsp}$$

Weighted monthly energy from seasonal purchases

 E_{jkp}

Monthly Energy from seasonal purchases sp in month j.

— Number of seasonal purchases

Temperature Adjusted Peak Load of jurisdiction i in month j at the time of the System Peak. ļļ

 TAE_{ij} = Temperature Adjusted Input Energy of jurisdiction i in month j.

Seasonal System Generation Cholla (SSGCH)

$$SSGCHi = \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ S}}^{12} WMO_{jch} * TAP_{ij} \right) * .75 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{8} \sum_{j=1}^{12} WMO_{jch} * TAE_{ij} \right) * .25$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} \sum_{j=1}^{12} WMO_{jch} * TAP_{ij}$$

where:

SSGCHi = Seasonal System Generation Cholla Factor for jurisdiction i.

$$\frac{E_{jch} + E_{jraps} - E_{jidaps}}{\sum_{j=1}^{12} E_{jch} + E_{jraps} - E_{jidaps}}$$
 Weighted monthly energy generation of Cholla plus energy received from APS less energy delivered to APS

where:

$$E_{jch}$$
 = Monthly Energy generation of Cholla plant in month j.
 E_{jraps} = Monthly Energy received from APS in month j.
 E_{jdaps} = Monthly Energy delivered to APS in month j.

Temperature Adjusted Peak Load of jurisdiction i in month j at the time of the System Peak. 11 TAP_{ij}

 TAE_{ij} = Temperature Adjusted Energy Output of jurisdiction i in month j.

Seasonal System Energy Cholla (SSECH)

$$ECHi = \sum_{j=1}^{12} WMO_{jch} * TAE_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} \sum_{j=1}^{8} WMO_{jch} * TAE_{ij}$$

where:

SSECHi = Seasonal System Energy Cholla Factor for jurisdiction i.

$$\overline{E_{jch} + E_{jraps} - E_{jdaps}}$$

$$\overline{WMO_{jCH}} = \sum_{j=1}^{12} E_{jch} + E_{jraps} - E_{jdaps}$$
Weighted monthly energy generation of Cholla plus energy received from APS less energy delivered to APS

where:

$$E_{jch}$$
 = Monthly Energy generation of Cholla plant in month j.
 E_{jraps} = Monthly Energy received from APS in month j.
 E_{jdaps} = Monthly Energy delivered to APS in month j.

Temperature Adjusted Energy Output of jurisdiction i in month j. . TAE_{ij}

Mid-C.(MC)

$$MC_i = \frac{WMOE_i}{\sum_{j \in S} WMCE_j}$$

where

MCi = Wid-C Factor for jurisdiction i.

$$WMCE_i = E_{ipr}^* + (E_{rr} * SGi) * (E_{rod} * WWA) + (E_{rod} * SGi)$$
 Weighted Mid-C Contracts annual energy-generation

where:

$$E_{ipr}^*=E_{ipr}$$
 If it is Oregon, otherwise

$$E_{upr}^*=0$$

$$E_{wa} = \text{Annual Energy generation of Wanapum.}$$
 $E_{wa} = \text{Annual Energy generation of Wells.}$

$$WWA_i = \frac{SG_i^*}{\sum_{i=8}^{8} SG_i^*}$$
 Weighted Wanapum Energy

where:

$$SG_i^* = SG_i$$
 if it is Washington or Oregon jurisdiction, otherwise

$$SG_i^*=0.$$

$$SG_i = System Generation for jurisdiction i.$$

Division Generation - Pacific Factor (DGP)

$$DGP_i = \frac{SG_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{8} SG_i}$$

where:

 DGP_i = Division Generation - Pacific Factor for jurisdiction i.

 $SG_i^* = SG_i$ if i is a Pacific jurisdiction, otherwise

 $SG_i = 0$.

 $SG_i = System Generation for jurisdiction i.$

Division Generation - Utah Factor (DGU)

$$DGU_i = \frac{SG_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{18} SG_i}$$

where:

 $DGU_i =$ Division Generation - Utah Factor for jurisdiction i.

 $SG_i = SG_i$ if i is a Utah jurisdiction, otherwise

 $SG_i^*=0.$

 $SG_i = System Generation for jurisdiction i.$

System Net Plant Production - Steam Factor (SNPPS)

(PPS - ADPPS)

where:

Seasonal System Generation Combustion Turbine Generation for jurisdiction i. System Net Plant - Steam Factor for jurisdiction i. System Generation for jurisdiction i. 11 SNPPS SSGCTi

Seasonal System Generation Cholla for jurisdiction i.

Accumulated Depreciation Steam Production Plant less Combustion Turbine and Cholla. Steam Production Plant less Combustion Turbine and Cholla. 11 1

Steam Production Plant - Combustion Turbine. 11

ADPPSCT

PPSCH

ADPPSO

PPSO

PPSCT

SSGCH,

Accumulated Depreciation Steam Production Plant - Combustion Turbine. Steam Production Plant - Cholla. 11

Accumulated Depreciation Steam Production Plant - Cholla. **ADPPSCH**

Steam Production Plant.

Accumulated Depreciation Steam Production Plant. ADPPS

System Net Plant Production - Hydro Factor (SNPPH)

$$SNPPH_i = \frac{SG_i * (PPHE - ADPPHE) + SG_i * (PPHRP - ADPPHRP)}{(PPH - ADPPH)}$$

where:

Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization Hydro Production Plant - Pacific. Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization Hydro Production Plant - East. Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization Hydro Production Plant. System Net Plant - Hydro Factor for jurisdiction i. System Generation for jurisdiction i. Hydro Production Plant - Pacific. Hydro Production Plant - East. Hydro Production Plant. 11 H H И 11 11 **ADPPHRP** ADPPHE SNPPH PPHRP **ADPPH** PPHE PPHSG

System Net Plant - Distribution Factor (SNPD)

$$SNPD_i = \frac{PD_i - ADPD_i}{(PD - ADPD)}$$

where:

Accumulated Depreciation Distribution Plant - for jurisdiction i. System Net Plant - Distribution Factor for jurisdiction i. Accumulated Depreciation Distribution Plant. Distribution Plant - for jurisdiction i. Distribution Plant. II 11 11 SWPDPD; ADPD; PD ADPD

System Gross Plant - System Factor (GPS)

$$GPS_i = \frac{PP_i + PT_i + PD_i + PG_i + PI_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{18} (PP_i + PT_i + PD_i + PG_i + PI_i)}$$

Gross Plant - System Factor for jurisdiction i. GP- S_i

Production Plant for jurisdiction i.

Fransmission Plant for jurisdiction i. Distribution Plant for jurisdiction i.

Intangible Plant for jurisdiction i. General Plant for jurisdiction i.

 PG_i

 PD_i

System Net Plant Factor (SNP)

$$SNP_i = \frac{PP_i + PT_i + PD_i + PG_i + PI_i - ADPP_i - ADPT_i - ADPD_i - ADPG_i - ADPI_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{18} (PP_i + PT_i + PD_i + PG_i + PI_i - ADPP_i - ADPT_i - ADPD_i - ADPG_i - ADPI_i)}$$

System Net Plant Factor for jurisdiction i.

Production Plant for jurisdiction i.

Fransmission Plant for jurisdiction i.

Distribution Plant for jurisdiction i.

 PT_i PD_i PG_i

ntangible Plant for jurisdiction i. General Plant for jurisdiction i.

Accumulated Depreciation Production Plant for jurisdiction i. $4DPP_i =$

Accumulated Depreciation Transmission Plant for jurisdiction i. $4DPT_i =$

Accumulated Depreciation Distribution Plant for jurisdiction i. Accumulated Depreciation General Plant for jurisdiction i. $ADPD_i =$

Accumulated Depreciation Intangible Plant for jurisdiction i. $4DPG_i =$

System Overhead - Gross Factor (SO)

$$SOG_{i} = \frac{PP_{i} + PT_{i} + PD_{i} + PG_{i} + PI_{i} - PP_{oi} - PT_{oi} - PD_{oi} - PG_{oi} - PI_{oi}}{\sum_{i=1}^{1-8} (PP_{i} + PT_{i} + PD_{i} + PG_{i} + PP_{i} - PP_{oi} - PI_{oi} - PG_{oi} - PI_{oi})}$$

 SOG_i = System Overhead - Gross Factor for jurisdiction i. PP_i = Gross Production Plant for jurisdiction i.

Gross Transmission Plant for jurisdiction i.

= Gross Distribution Plant for jurisdiction i.

= Gross General Plant for jurisdiction i.

Gross Intangible Plant for jurisdiction i. Gross Production Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor.

Gross Transmission Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor

Gross Distribution Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor Gross General Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor

Gross Intangible Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor

Income Before Taxes Factor (IBT)

$$IBT_i = \frac{TIBT_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{18} TIBT_i}$$

1BTi = Income before Taxes Factor for jurisdiction i.

TIBTi = Total Income before Taxes for jurisdiction i.

System Overhead - Gross Factor (SO)

$$SOG_{i} = \frac{PP_{i} + PT_{i} + PD_{i} + PG_{i} + PI_{i} - PP_{oi} - PT_{oi} - PD_{oi} - PG_{oi} - PI_{oi}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (PP_{i} + PT_{i} + PD_{i} + PG_{i} + PP_{i} - PP_{oi} - PI_{oi} - PG_{oi} - PI_{oi})}$$

System Overhead - Gross Factor for jurisdiction i. 3ross Production Plant for jurisdiction i.

Gross Transmission Plant for jurisdiction i. PD.

3ross Distribution Plant for jurisdiction i.

Gross Intangible Plant for jurisdiction i. Gross General Plant for jurisdiction i.

Gross Transmission Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor Gross Production Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor.

Gross Distribution Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor Gross General Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor PD à

Gross Intangible Plant for jurisdiction i allocated on a SO factor

Income Before Taxes Factor (IBT)

$$IBT_i = \frac{TIBT_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=8} TIBT_i}$$

Income before Taxes Factor for jurisdiction i. IJ IBTi

Total Income before Taxes for jurisdiction i. TIBIL

Bad Debt Expense Factor (BADDEBT)

$$BADDEBT_i = \frac{ACCT904_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{168} ACCT904_i}$$

BAIDINEBT; = Bad Debt Expense Factor for jurisdiction i.

ACCT904i = Balance in Account 904 for jurisdiction i.

Customer Number Factor (CN)

$$CN_i = \frac{CUST_i}{\sum_{t=1}^{t} CUST_t}$$

where:

 CN_i = Customer Number Factor for jurisdiction i. $CUST_i$ = Total Electric Customers for jurisdiction i.

Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)

$$CIAC_i = \frac{CIACNA_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=2} CIACNA_i}$$

where:

CLACNA

CIACi

Contributions in Aid of Construction Factor for jurisdiction i.
 Contributions in Aid of Construction – Net additions for jurisdiction i.

Schedule M - Deductions (SCHMD)

$$SCHMD_i = \frac{DEPRC_i}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{18} DEPRC_i}$$

where:

 $SCHMD_i$ = Sche $DEPRC_i$ = Depi

Schedule M - Deductions (SCHMD) Factor for jurisdiction i. Depreciation in Accounts 403.1 - 403.9 for jurisdiction i.

Trojan Plant (TROJP)

$$TROJP_i = \frac{ACCT18222_i}{\sum_{t=1}^{i=8} ACCT18222_i}$$

where:

 $TROJP_i = Tro$ $ACCT18222_i = Allo$

Trojan Plant (TROJP) Factor for jurisdiction i. Allocated Adjusted Balance in Account 182.22 for jurisdiction i.

Trojan Decommissioning (TROJD)

$$TROJD_i = \frac{ACCT22842_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{18} ACCT22842_i}$$

vnere:

 $TROJD_i$ = Trojan Deco $ACCT22842_i$ = Allocated Adj

Trojan Decommissioning (TROJD) Factor for jurisdiction i. Allocated Adjusted Balance in Account 228.42 for jurisdiction i.

Tax Depreciation (TAXDEPR)

$$TAXDEPR_i = \frac{TAXDEPRA_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=3} TAXDEPRA_i}$$

where:

Tax Depreciation (TAXDEPR) Factor for jurisdiction i. II TAXDEPR

Tax Depreciation allocated to jurisdiction i.

TAXDEPRA;

System allocations from above. Each jurisdiction's total allocated portion of Tax depreciation is determined by its (Tax Depreciation is allocated based on functional pre merger and post merger splits of plant using Divisional and total allocated ratio of these functional pre and post merger splits to the total Company Tax Depreciation.)

Deferred Tax Expense (DITEXP)

$$DITEXP_{i} = \frac{DITEXPA_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{18} DITEXPA_{i}}$$

where:

Deferred Tax Expense (DITEXP) Factor for jurisdiction i. DITEXP;

Deferred Tax Expense allocated to jurisdiction i.

 $DITEXPA_i$

software package used to track Deferred Tax Expense & Deferred Tax Balances. PowerTax allocates Deferred Tax (Deferred Tax Expense is allocated by a run of PowerTax based upon the above factors. PowerTax is a computer Expense and Deferred Tax Balances to the states based upon a computer run which uses as inputs the preceding factors. If the preceding factors change, the factors generated by PowerTax change.)

Deferred Tax Balance (DITBAL)

 $DITBAL_{i} = \frac{DITBALA_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{18} DITBALA_{i}}$

where:

 $DITBAL_{i}$ = Deferred Tax Balance (DITBAL.) Factor for jurisdiction i. D

software package used to track Deferred Tax Expense & Deferred Tax Balances. PowerTax allocates Deferred Tax (Deferred Tax Balance is allocated by a run of PowerTax based upon the above factors. PowerTax is a computer Expense and Deferred Tax Balances to the states based upon a computer run which uses as inputs the preceding factors. If the preceding factors change, the factors generated by PowerTax change.)

Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Exhibit No. 23 Witness: David L. Taylor

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PACIFICORP

Exhibit Accompanying Supplemental Direct Testimony of David L. Taylor

Appendix D - Special Contracts

July 2004

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 23 Page 1 of 3 Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: David L. Taylor

Protocol Appendix D Special Contracts

Special Contracts without Ancillary Service Contract Attributes

For allocation purposes Special Contracts without identifiable Ancillary Service Contract attributes are viewed as one transaction.

Loads of Special Contract customers will be included in all Load-Based Dynamic Allocation Factors.

When interruptions of a Special Contract customer's service occur, the reduction in load will be reflected in the host jurisdiction's Load-Based Dynamic Allocation Factors.

Actual revenues received from Special Contract customer will be assigned to the State where the Special Contract customer is located.

See example in Table 1

Special Contracts with Ancillary Service Contract Attributes

For allocation purposes Special Contracts with Ancillary Service Contract attributes are viewed as two transactions. PacifiCorp sells the customer electricity at the retail service rate and then buys the electricity back during the interruption period at the Ancillary Service Contract rate.

Loads of Special Contract customers will be included in all Load-Based Dynamic Allocation Factors.

When interruptions of a Special Contract customer's service occur, the host jurisdiction's Load-Based Dynamic Allocation Factors and the retail service revenue are calculated as though the interruption did not occur.

Revenues received from Special Contract customer, before any discounts for Customer Ancillary Service attributes of the Special Contract, will be assigned to the State where the Special Contract customer is located.

Discounts from tariff prices provided for in Special Contracts that recognize the Customer Ancillary Service Contract attributes of the Contract, and payments to retail customers for Customer Ancillary Services will be allocated among States on the same basis as System Resources.

See example in Table 2

Buy-through of Economic Curtailment.

When a buy-through option is provided with economic curtailment, the load, costs and revenue associated with a customer buying through economic curtailment will be excluded from the calculation of State revenue requirements. The cost associated with the buy-through will be removed from the calculation of net power costs, the Special Contract customer load associated with the buy-through will be not be included in the calculation of Load-Based Dynamic Allocation Factors, and the revenue associated with the buy-through will not be included in State revenues.

Protocol Appendix D - Table 1 Interruptible Contract Without Ancillary Service Contract Attributes Effect on Revenue Requirement

| | | Factor | 3 | Total system | Jurisdiction 1 | Jurisdiction 2 | إ | urisdiction 3 |
|----|--|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|---|---------------|
| | Loads | | | | | | | |
| | Jurisdictional Loads - No Interruptible Service | | | | | 00.000 | | 40.000 |
| | Jurisdictional Sum of 12 monthly CP demand (MW) | | | 72,000 | 24,000 | 36,000 | | 12,000 |
| | Jurisdictional Annual Energy (MWh) | | | 42,000,000 | 14,000,000 | 21,600,000 | | 7,000,000 |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | Jurisdictional Loads - With Interruptible Service - Reflecting Actual Interruptions | | | | | | | 40.000 |
| | Jurisdictional Sum of 12 monthly CP demand (MW) | | | 71,700 | 24,000 | 35,700 | | 12,000 |
| | Jurisdictional Annual Energy (MWh) | | | 41,962,500 | 14,000,000 | 20,962,500 | | 7,000,000 |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |
| | Special Contract Customer Revenue and Load - Non Interruptible Service | | | | | | | |
| | Special Contract Customer Revenue | | \$ | 20,000,000 | | \$ 20,000,000 | | |
| | Special Contract Customer Sum of 12 CPs (MW) (Included in line 2) | | | 900 | | 900 | | • |
| | Special Contract Annual Energy (MWh) (included in line 3) | | | 500,000 | • | 500,000 | | • |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| | Special Contract Customer Revenue and Load - With Interruptible Service (75 MW | X 500 Ho | | | | | | |
| | Special Contract Customer Revenue | | \$ | 16,000,000 | | \$ 16,000,000 | | |
| | Discourt for Ancillary Services | | | | | * | | |
| | Net Cost to Special Contract Customer | | \$ | 18,000,000 | | \$ 16,000,000 | | |
| | Special Contract Sum of 12 CP- Reflecting Actual Interruptions (MW) (Included in I | | | 600 | • | 600 | | • |
| | Special Contract Annual Energy- Reflecting Actual Interruptions (MWh) (Included in | line 8) | | 462,500 | • | 462,500 | | • |
| 21 | . | | | | | | | |
| | System Cost Savings from Interruption | | | \$4,000,000 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| | Allocation Factors | | | | | • | | |
| | No interruptible Service | 0.04 | | 100 000/ | 00.000 | F0 000 | | 40.070/ |
| | SE factor (Calculated from line 4) | SE1 | | 100.00% | 33.33% | | | 16.67% |
| | SC factor (Calculated from line 3) | SC1 | | 100.00% | 33.33% | | | 16,67% |
| | SG factor (line 27*75% + line 26*25%) | SG1 | | 100.00% | 33,33% | 50.00% | | 16.67% |
| 29 | | | | | | | | |
| | With Interruptible Service (Reflecting Actual Physical Interruptions) SE factor (Calculated from line 8) | 050 | | 100.009/ | 20 200 | 49.96% | | 16.68% |
| | SC factor (Calculated from line 7) | SE2 | | 100,00% | 33.36% 33.47% | | | 16.74% |
| | SG factor (line 32*75% + line 31*25%) | SG2 SG2 | | 100.00% 100.00% | 33,45% | | | 16.72% |
| 34 | | GUA | | פּרִטטּ,טָטוּ | di asu | i sidenti | | 10.72.78 |
| 35 | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | | rruptibl | - C | entice | | | | |
| | | uribun | ė ė | GLAIM. | | | | |
| 37 | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost of Service | 054 | | | | | | 00 000 000 |
| | Energy Cost | SE1 | \$ | 500,000,000 | \$ 166,666,667 | | | 83,333,333 |
| | Demand Related Coats | SG1 | \$ | 1,000,000,000 | \$ 333,333,333 | | | 166,666,667 |
| | Sum of Cost | | \$ | 1,500,000,000 | \$ 500,000,000 | \$ 750,000,000 | Ð | 250,000,000 |
| 48 | | | | | | | | |
| | Revenues Special Contract Revenue | Citus | \$ | 00 000 000 | | \$ 20,000,000 | | |
| | Revenues from all other customers | Situs Situs | 9 5 | 20,000,000 1,480,000,000 | \$ 500,000,000 | | e | 250,000,000 |
| 46 | | anus | 4 | 1,400,000,000 | 4 950,000,000 | 4 1999,000,000 | • | ************ |
| 47 | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | | arrintit | ا ماد | Service | | | | |
| | 25 0002 1500 | eudhu | 3165 1 | MRI AIPE | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost of Service | | _ | | | | | 00.074.470 |
| | Energy Cost | SE2 | \$ | 498,000,000 | | | | 83,074,173 |
| | Demand Related Costs | SG2 | \$ | 998,000,000 | \$ 334,058,577 | | | 167,029,289 |
| | Sum of Cost | | \$ | 1,496,000,000 | \$ 500,206,924 | \$ 745,689,614 | Þ | 250,103,462 |
| 54 | | | | | | | | |
| | i <u>Revenues</u> Special Contract Revenue | Situs | \$ | 16,000,000 | | \$ 16,600,000 | | |
| | Revenues from all other customers | Situs | S | 1,480,000,000 | \$ 500,206,924 | | 2 | 250,103,462 |
| | i am Krimin Edwarden inn andre die bei gegen geste gegen geg | Sirre | • | · I-ANAI ANAI MAN | A Annimaniaca | - 4 110000000000000000000000000000000000 | - | dead tank age |

Protocol Appendix D - Table 2 Interruptible Contract With Ancillary Service Contract Attributes Effect on Revenue Requirement

| | | Factor | 1 | Total system | Jurisdiction 1 | او | Jurisdiction 2 | <u>Ju</u> | risdiction 3 |
|---------------------------|--|------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------------|----|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 1 Loads | | | | | | | | | |
| | onal Loads - No Interruptible Service | | | 70.000 | 04.000 | | 36.000 | | 12.000 |
| | onal Sum of 12 monthly CP demand (MW) ional Annual Energy (MWh) | | | 72,000 42,000,000 | 24,000 14,000,000 | | 21,000,000 | | 7,000,000 |
| 5 | Origin Statistical Committee Committ | | | 42,000,000 | 14,000,000 | | 21,000,000 | | 7,000,000 |
| | onal Loads - With Interruptible Service - Reflecting Actual Interruptions | | | | | | | | |
| | onal Sum of 12 monthly CP demand (MW) | | | 71,700 | 24,000 | | 35,700 | | 12,000 |
| | onal Annual Energy (MWh) | | | 41,962,500 | 14,000,000 | | 20,962,500 | | 7,000,000 |
| 9 10 Special (| Contract Customer Revenue and Load - Non Interruptible Service | | | | | | | | |
| | Contract Customer Revenue | | s | 20.000.000 | | \$ | 20,000,000 | | |
| | Contract Customer Sum of 12 CPs (MW) (Included in line 2) | | • | 900 | - | | 900 | | - |
| | Contract Annual Energy (MWh) (Included in line 3) | | | 500,000 | - | | 500,000 | | • |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Contract Customer Revenue and Load - With Interruptible Service (75 MW) uivalent Revenue | K 500 Ha | _ | | | \$ | 20.000.000 | | |
| | Service Discount for 75 MW X 500 Hours of Economic Curtallment | | \$ | 20,000,000 | | \$ | (4,000,000) | | |
| | to Special Contract Customer | | \$ | 16,000,000 | | \$ | 16,000,000 | | |
| | Contract Sum of 12 CP- Reflecting Actual Interruptions (MW) (Included in III | ne 7) | • | 600 | - | | 600 | | - |
| | Contract Annual Energy- Reflecting Actual Interruptions (MWh) (Included in I | ine 8) | | 462,500 | • | | 462,500 | | - |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 System (23 | Cost Savings from Interruption | | | \$4,000,000 | | | | | |
| 24 Allocation | on Factors | | | | | | | | |
| | uptible Service | | | | | | | | |
| 26 SE facto | r (Calculated from line 4) | SE1 | | 100.00% | 33.33% | , | 50.00% | | 16.67% |
| | r (Calculated from line 3) | SC1 | | 100.00% | 33.33% | | 50.00% | | 16,67% |
| 28 SG facto 29 | r (line 27*75% + line 26*25%) | \$G1 | | 100,00% | 33.33% | • | 50.00% | | 16.67% |
| | erruptible Service (Reflecting Actual Physical Interruptions) | | | | | | | | |
| | r (Calculated from line 8) | SE2 | | 100.00% | 33.36% | i | 49.96% | | 16.68% |
| | r (Calculated from line 7) | SC2 | | 100.00% | 33.47% | , | 49.79% | | 16.74% |
| | r (line 32*75% + line 31*25%) | \$G2 | | 100.00% | 33.45% | , | 49.83% | | 16.72% |
| 34 | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 36 | No tutos | | | | | | | | |
| | No Inter | ruptibi | e 5 | ervice | | | | | |
| 37 39 Cont of | Camina | | | | | | | | |
| 38 Cost of 39 Energy (| | SE1 | \$ | 500,000,000 | \$ 166,666,667 | \$ | 250,000,000 | \$ | 83,333,333 |
| | Related Costs | SG1 | \$ | 1,000,000,000 | \$ 333,333,333 | | 500,000,000 | | 166,666,667 |
| 41 Sum of 0 | Cost | | \$ | 1,500,000,000 | \$ 500,000,000 | \$ | 750,000,000 | \$ | 250,000,000 |
| 42 | | | | | | | | | |
| 43 Revenue | ES Contract Revenue | Situs | \$ | 20,000,000 | | \$ | 20,000,000 | | |
| | es from all other customers | Situs | \$ | 1,480,000,000 | \$ 500,000,000 | • | 730,000,000 | 2 | 250,000,000 |
| 46 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 01100 | • | ·1-residentian | • •••••••• | • | | • | |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | With Interruptible Serv | ice & A | \nci | llary Service (| Contract | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 Cost of | | | | | | _ | | _ | |
| 51 Energy (| | SE1 | \$ | 498,000,000 | \$ 166,000,000 | | 249,000,000 | | 83,000,000 166,333,333 |
| | Related Costs Service Contract - Economic Curtailment (Demand) | SG1 SG1 | \$ \$ | 998,000,000 | \$ 332,666,667 \$ 666,667 | | 499,000,000 1,000,000 | | 333,333 |
| | Service Contract - Economic Curtailment (Demand) Service Contract - Economic Curtailment (Energy) | SE1 | Š | 2,000,000 | \$ 666,667 | | 1,000,000 | \$ | 333,333 |
| 55 Sum of (| | | \$ | 1,500,000,000 | \$ 500,000,000 | | 750,000,000 | \$ | 250,000,000 |
| 56 | | | - | | | | • | | |
| 57 Revenu | | • | _ | | | _ | 00 000 000 | | |
| | Contract Revenue | Situs | \$ | 20,000,000 | \$ 500,000,000 | \$ | 20,000,000 730,000,000 | • | 250,000,000 |
| as mevenu | es from all other customers | Situs | \$ | 1,480,000,000 | \$ 500,000,000 | * | 730,000,000 | \$ | 230,000,000 |

Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Exhibit No. 24 Witness: David L. Taylor

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PACIFICORP

July 2004

Protocol Appendix E Annual Embedded Costs Example Calculation

| 2 | ne Nø | Hydro | Description | | Mwh | \$/Mwh |
|--|-------|----------------------|--|---|------------|--------|
| 1 555 545 Hydro Operation & Maintenance Expense 28,742,988 40,330 403,336 Hydro Residensing Amortization 38,741,284 | | | | | | |
| 2 403,390 + 403,396 + 1,400 - 1,400 + 1,400 | 1 | 535 - 545 | | 28.742.968 | | |
| Mile | 2 | 403.330 - 403.336 | | | | |
| | 3 | | | #1#################################### | | |
| West Hydro Rate Base | | | | 38 741 204 | | |
| Second | | | twitter aummer til fatten mehrne erettill productionen | Oction Herman | | |
| 15 | | | West Hydro Rate Base | | | |
| 108 | - | | - | | | |
| Material & Supplies 33,115 | ~ | | Hydro Relicensing | 60,297,285 | | |
| West Hydro Net Rate Base 267,689,095 Protect return 12,040% Rate Base Revenue Requirement 32,228,277 | 7 | | Hydro Accumulated Depreciation Reserve | (166,680,229) | | |
| Pre-tax return 12.040% 32,282,277 | 8 | 154 | Material & Supplies | 33,115 | | |
| | 9 | • | West Hydro Net Rate Base | 267,669,095 | | |
| Annual Embedded Costs | 10 | | Pre-tax return | 12.040% | | |
| Mid C Contracts | 11 | | Rate Base Revenue Requirement | 32,228,277 | | |
| Mid C Contracts | | | Annual Embadded Costs | | | |
| Mild C Contracts 17,395,759 1,942,173 8. | 12 | | | 70 969 571 | 4 128 978 | 17.1 |
| Comparison Com | - | | | 10,000,00 | 1,120,010 | |
| Qualified Facilities S55 | | | | | | |
| Second Care Care | 13 | 555 | Annual Mid-C Contracts Costs | 17,395,759 | 1,942,173 | 8.9 |
| Generation Accounts | | Qualified Facilities | | | | |
| Excl. West Hydro, Mid C & QF Description | 14 | 555 | Annual Qualified Facilities Costs | 72,455,744 | 904,760 | 80.0 |
| Excl. West Hydro, Mid C & QF Description | | Generation Accounts | | | | |
| | | | Description | | | |
| Separa | | | | | | |
| 65 635 - 645 East Hydro Operation & Maintenance Expense 6,735,263 10 | 5 | 500 - 514 | | 688.364.976 | | |
| S46 - 854 | 16 | 535 - 545 | | | | |
| Cher Purchased Power Contracts (No Mid-C or QF) 987,640,792 4118 502 Emission Allowances (4,567,668) 403,310 - 403,316 Steam Depreciation Expense 125,289,749 403,330 - 403,336 East Hydro Depreciation Expense 2,682,834 403,340 - 403,346 Other Generation Depreciation Expense 8,246,911 403,399 Mining 403,401,310 | 17 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 403.910 - 403.916 Steam Depreciation Expense 125.299,749 403.930 - 403.336 East Hydro Depreciation Expense 2,682,834 403.390 - 403.346 Other Generation Depreciation Expense 2,682,834 403.399 Mining Amortization of Plant Acquisition Costs 5,479,553 406 Amortization of Plant Acquisition Costs 5,479,553 704a Operating Expenses 1,900,319,339 | | | | | | |
| 403,330 - 403,336 | | | | | | |
| A03.340 - 403.346 | | | | | | |
| Mining | - | | | • • | | |
| Amortization of Plant Acquisition Costs 5,479,353 1,900,319,339 | | | | 8,246,911 | | |
| Rate Base 1,900,319,339 | | | ************************************** | | | |
| Rate Base | | 406 | | | | |
| Steam Electric Plant in Service 4,101,422,677 330 - 336 East Hydro EPIS 97,419,645 9 | | | Total Operating Experiees | 1,300,013,003 | | |
| 27 330 - 336 East Hydro EPIS 97,419,645 28 302 Hydro Relicensing 5,401,310 29 340 - 346 Other Electric Plant in Service 244,590,200 399 Minning 307,647,355 31 108 Steam Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (1,942,212,593) 32 108 Other Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (35,481,994) 33 108 Mining (163,138,588) 34 108 East Hydro Accum Depreciation Reserve (35,722,174) 314 Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment 157,193,780 35 114 Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) 36 115 Accumulated Provision Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) 37 151 Fuel Stack 63,173,007 38 253,19 Joint Owner WC Deposit (4,310,538) 39 253,99 SO2 Emission Allowances (45,959,734) 40 154 Material & Supplies 46,300,994 41 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 2,739,721,705 42 Total Net Ra | •• | | | | | |
| Hydro Relicensing 5,401,310 | | | | | | |
| 29 340 - 346 Other Electric Plant in Service 244,590,200 399 Mining 307,647,355 31 108 Steam Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (1,942,212,593) 32 108 Other Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (35,481,994) 33 108 Mining (163,138,588) 34 108 East Hydro Accum Depreciation Reserve (35,722,174) 35 114 Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment 157,193,780 36 115 Accumulated Provision Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) 37 151 Fuel Stock 63,173,007 38 253,16 - 253,19 Joint Owner WC Deposit (4,310,538) 39 253,99 SO2 Emission Allowances (45,959,734) 40 154 Material & Supplies 46,300,904 41 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 46,300,904 42 Total Net Rate Base Revenue Requirement 323,871,889 | | | | 97,419,645 | | |
| Mining 307,647,355 | | | Hydro Relicensing | 5,401,310 | | |
| 108 Steam Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (1.942,212,593) 108 Other Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (35,481,994) 108 Other Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (35,722,174) 108 East Hydro Accum Depreciation Reserve (35,722,174) 114 Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment 157,193,780 115 Accumulated Provision Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) 151 Fuel Stock 63,173,007 151 Fuel Stock 63,173,007 153,907 151 Stock 63,173,007 152,100 154 1 | | 340 - 346 | Other Electric Plant in Service | 244,590,200 | | |
| 32 108 Other Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (35,481,994) 33 108 Mining (163,138,589) 34 108 East Hydro Accum Depreciation Reserve (35,722,174) 35 114 Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment 157,193,780 36 115 Accumulated Provision Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) 37 151 Fuel Stock 63,173,007 38 253,16 - 253,19 Joint Owner WC Deposit (4,910,538) 39 253,99 SO2 Emission Allowances (45,959,794) 40 154 Material & Supplies 41 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 46,300,904 41 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 42 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 43 Pre-tax return 12,04% 44 (Line 42 x Line 43) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 323,871,889 | 30 | 399 | Mining | 307,647,355 | | |
| 32 108 Other Accumulated Depreciation Reserve (35,481,994) 33 108 Mining (163,138,588) 34 108 East Hydro Accum Depreciation Reserve (35,722,174) 35 114 Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment 157,193,780 36 115 Accumulated Provision Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) 37 151 Fuel Stock 63,173,007 38 253.16 - 253.19 Joint Owner WC Deposit (4,910,538) 39 253.99 SO2 Emission Allowances (45,959,794) 40 154 Material & Supplies 41 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 46,300,904 42 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 43 Pre-tax return 12,04% 44 (Line 42 x Line 43) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | 31 | 108 | Steam Accumulated Depreciation Reserve | (1,942,212,593) | | |
| 108 | 32 | 108 | | (35,481,994) | | |
| 108 | 33 | 108 | | | | |
| 114 Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment 157,193,780 115 Accumulated Provision Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) 151 Fuel Stock 63,173,007 151 63,173,007 152,16 - 253.19 Joint Owner WC Deposit (4,310,538) 153.99 SO2 Emission Allowances (45,959,734) 154 Material & Supplies (45,959,734) 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 46,300,904 154 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 12,04% 159 15 | | | | | | |
| 115 Accumulated Provision Acquisition Adjustment (56,601,550) | - | | | | | |
| Fuel Stock 63,179,007 38 253,16 - 253,19 Joint Owner WC Deposit (4,910,538) 39 253,99 SO2 Emission Allowances (45,959,734) 40 154 Material & Supplies 41 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 46,300,904 42 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 43 Pre-tax return 12,04% 44 (Line 42 x Line 43) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | | | | | | |
| 259.16 - 259.19 | | | | | | |
| 39 253.99 SO2 Emission Allowances (45,959,734) 40 154 Material & Supplies 46,300,994 41 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 2,739,721,705 42 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 43 Pre-tax return 12,04% 44 (Line 42 x Line 43) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | | ** | | | | |
| 154 Material & Supplies 46,300,904 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 46,300,904 12 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 13 Pre-tax return 12,04% 14 (Line 42 x Line 49) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | | | | | | |
| #1 154 East Hydro Material & Supplies 46,300,904 #12 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 #13 Pre-tax return 12,04% #14 (Line 42 x Line 43) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | | | | (40'909'194) | | |
| 12 Total Net Rate Base 2,739,721,705 13 Pre-tax return 12,04% 14 (Line 42 x Line 49) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | | | | 40 000 004 | | |
| 13 Pre-tax return 12.04% 14 (Line 42 x Line 43) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | | 129 | | | | |
| 44 (Line 42 x Line 43) Rate Base Revenue Requirement 329,871,889 | | | | | • • | |
| | | (Line 42 v Line 45) | | | | |
| 45 (Line 25 + Line 44) Annual Embedded Costs - All Other \1 2.230, 191,228 69,686,856 32 | pris- | (Fine 45 x Fine 43) | uare asse vezeune wednitëmetr | 329,871,689 | | |
| | 15 | (Line 25 + Line 44) | Annual Embedded Costs - All Other \1 | 2,230,191,228 | 69,686,856 | 32 |
| | | | 72 | WELL COMMENT AND PARTY TO BE THE REAL PROPERTY. | | |

^{1 .} Generation Revenue Requirement less Hydro-Electric Resources, Mid Columbia Contracts and Existing QF Contracts

Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Exhibit No. 20 Witness: Gregory N. Duvall

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PACIFICORP

Exhibit Accompanying Supplemental Direct Testimony of Greg N. Duvall

Appendix F – Methodology for Determining Mid-C (MC) Factor

July 2004

PacifiCorp Exhibit No. 20 Page 1 of 2 Case No. PAC-E-02-3 Witness: Gregory N. Duvall

Protocol Appendix F Methodology for Determining Mid-C (MC) Factor

Energy for each Mid-C contract is allocated as follows to determine the MC factor.

- Priest Rapids energy is assigned 100% to Oregon.
- Rocky Reach energy is allocated on the SG factor.
- Wanapum energy is assigned to Oregon and Washington based upon each state's respective share
 of the SG factor.
 - o Wanapum energy assigned to Oregon = Oregon SG / (total Oregon and Washington SG).
 - o Wanapum energy assigned to Washington = Washington SG / (total Oregon and Washington SG).
- Wells energy is allocated on the SG factor.
- The Grant replacement contracts begin at the time the Priest Rapids contract terminates. The energy from these contracts is assigned to Oregon through October 31, 2009.
- Effective November 1, 2009, the date the Wanapum contract expires, the Grant replacement contract energy is divided into two pieces based on PacifiCorp's share of the nameplate of Priest Rapids and Wanapum as shown in the following calculation:

| | Nameplate Capacity Mw | PacifiCorp's Share - % | PacifiCorp's Share of Nameplate - Mw | PacifiCorp's % share of nameplate |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| Priest Rapids | 789 | 13.9% | 110 | 41.35% |
| Wanapum | 831 | 18.7% | 155 | 58.65% |
| , | 1,620 | | 265 | 100.00% |

- The Priest Rapids portion of the Grant County replacement contracts is 41.35%. The energy associated with the Grant County replacement contracts for Priest Rapids is assigned 100% to Oregon.
- The Wanapum portion of the Grant County replacement contracts is 58.65%. The energy associated with the Grant County replacement contracts for Wanapum is assigned to Washington based on the ratio of the Washington SG factor to the sum of the Oregon and Washington SG factors. The remaining energy from the Wanapum portion is assigned to Oregon.

After all of the energy from the Mid-Columbia Contracts has been assigned or allocated to each State, then the MC factor is created by dividing each State's energy by the total energy associated with the Mid-Columbia Contracts. The MC factor is used to allocate the Mid-Columbia Contract embedded cost differential to each State.

| | | Forture Head | | Mid C Energy | to Mocate Mid C Freemy to Jurisdictions | SUC | | | Calculativ | Calculation of Mid C Factor | Factor | | | |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|---|--|---------------|----------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|-----------|
| | | | | 2005 | | | | | | 2002 | | | | |
| | | | | Percent | | | | | | MANH | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | to to the | Wanapum | | |
| (| | | | | C touch C | Managem Graph | Delact Danida | Booky Beach | | | | Replacement | - | MC Factor |
| Spin | Priest Don'ide 4 | 10 done | Woonen 37 | Make A | Priest craft Reniscement 5/ | | | | Wanapum 3/ | Wells 4/ | | 'n | Total Mid-C | % |
| Contracts | | nucky neads 2 | The state of the s | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | . 2 | | | | A 648 | | 4.749 | | | 10,407 | 0.54% |
| California | | 2007 | | 9000 | 100.000 | | 567 560 | 90.800 | 505 498 | 76.238 | • | ٠ | 1,331,125 | 69.27% |
| Oregon | 100.00% | 20.30% | 70.94% | 20.00% | 20000 | 23.06% | | 27.222 | | 22,849 | | | 228,842 | 11.91% |
| wasmington | | 6,00,0 | | 44 000 | | | | 131.984 | | 110.783 | | | 242,767 | 12.63% |
| LEED. | | 41.33.36 | | 9000 | | | | 18.428 | | 15.468 | | | 33,892 | 1.76% |
| Maho | | 5,83% | | 3,433% | | | | 40,636 | | 34,108 | | | 74,744 | 3.89% |
| Wyorman | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100,00% | 100.00% | 567,559 | 314,754 | 775,270 | 264,193 | | | 1,921,777 | 100.00% |
| | | | ļ | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | 2007 | | 等 计记录器 | | |
| | | | | | | The second of th | | | | HAWH | | | | |
| | | | | PERCENT | | | | | | | | Mananiem | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Priest Grant | Grant | | |
| 755 | Driess | | | | Priest Grant | Wanapum Grant | Priest Rapids | Rocky Reach | | | ment | Replacement | | MC Factor |
| Contracts | > | Rocky Reach 2/ | Wanapum 3/ | Wells 4/ | Replacement 5/ | Replacement 5/ | ,, | 73 | Wanapum 3/ | Wells 4/ | 'n. | ò | Total Mid-C | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colifornia | | 1.73% | | 4.73% | | | | 5,457 | | 4,581 | | | 10,038 | 0.52% |
| Ozero | 100.00% | 27.56% | 76.68% | 27.56% | 100,00% | 76.68% | • | 86,746 | | 72,811 | 564,683 | • | 1,318,684 | 68.72% |
| Washington | | 8.38% | | 8.38% | %00'0 | | | 26,388 | 180,826 | 22,149 | | | 229,363 | 13.37% |
| Cash | | 44,13% | | 44.13% | | | | 196,899 | | 100,001 | | | 32.340 | 1 69% |
| daho | | 5.59% | | 5.59% | | | | 7,582,7F | | 33.308 | | | 72,990 | 3.80% |
| Wyoming | | 12.61% | - | 12.57% | 100,000 | 100 000 V | | 33,00K | 076.977 | 264 192 | 564 683 | | 1.918.900 | 100.00% |
| | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100,00% | 100.00% | 400.001 | | | 2010 | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | i i | | | SECTION STATES | | - June | Barrier of the Control | | 超速を | |
| | | | | 128 | | | | | Contract to the Contract of th | HANN | | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | | |
| | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Wananam | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Priest Grant | Grant | | |
| () | To page | | | | Princel Great | Wanabum Grant | Priest Rapids | Rocky Reach | | | Replacement Replacement | Replacement | - | MC Factor |
| San C | Danide 1/ | Printed Daniel 1/ Docky Beach 2/ | Wensmin 3 | Wells 4/ | Replacement 5/ | | | | Wanapum 3/ | Wells 4/ | ķ | 57 | Total Mid-C | 8 |
| Compage | and and | Trock treatment | | | | | | | | | | | į | è |
| Collifornia | | 165% | | 1.65% | | | | 5,200 | | 4,365 | | | 600,6 | 0.0078 |
| Caro | 300 00% | • | 78.18% | 26.13% | * | | • | 82,231 | | 69,021 | 372,327 | 402,325 | 925,904 | 62.59% |
| Washington. | | _ | | 8.17% | | 23.82% | | 25,708 | , | 21,579 | | 125,776 | 173,064 | 11.70% |
| A Mah | - | 48.96% | | 46.96% | | | | 147,810 | | 124,086 | | | 271,876 | 9,30,0 |
| idaho | | 5.20% | | 5.20% | | | | 16,353 | | 13,726 | | | 30,073 68 887 | 4.66% |
| Wyoming | | 11.90% | | 11.90% | | ١ | | 704/16 | | 001,400 | 705 075 | F28 101 | 1 479 375 | 100.00% |
| | 100.00% | | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100,00% | - | 214,134 | | EO. 192 | - | | | |

Protocol Appendix F

⁽¹⁾ Priest Rapids Power Sales Agreement with Grant County dated May 2, 1956
(2) Rocky Reach Power Sales Agreement with Chelan County dated Movember 14, 1957
(3) Warrapum Power Sales Agreement with Grant County dated John 22, 1959
(4) Wals Power Sales Agreement with Dougas County dated September 19, 1963
(5) Priest Rapids Project Product Sales Agreement with Grant County dated December 31, 2001
The Additional Product Sales Agreement with Grant County dated December 31, 2001
The Priest Rapids Reasonable Portion Power Sales Agreement with Grant County dated December 31, 2001